Draft Background Document for Life-Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emission Factors for Carpet and Personal Computers
May, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
3
3
6
11
13
13
15
18
24
25
26
28
29
36
38

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1.	Carpet and PC Emission Factors (MTCE/Ton)	2
Exhibit 2.	Carpet Source Reduction Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)	3
Exhibit 3.	Process Energy Emissions Calculations	4
Exhibit 4.	Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations	5
Exhibit 5.	Process Non-energy Emissions	5
Exhibit 6.	Fate of Recycled Carpet: Secondary Products and Percent Composition	6
Exhibit 7.	Carpet Recycling Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)	7
Exhibit 8.	Molded Auto Parts – Process Energy Emissions Calculations for Virgin Materials	8
Exhibit 9.	Molded Auto Parts – Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations for Virgin Materials	8
Exhibit 10.	Molded Auto Parts – Process Non-energy Emissions for Virgin Materials	9
Exhibit 11.	Molded Auto Parts – Process Energy Emissions Calculations for Recycled Materials	9
Exhibit 12.	Molded Auto Parts – Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations for Recycled Materials	.10
Exhibit 13.	Emission Difference Between Virgin and Recycled Molded Auto Parts Manufacture (MTCE/Ton)	.10
Exhibit 14.	Calculation of Adjusted GHG Savings for Carpet Recycled into Molded Auto Parts	.11
Exhibit 15.	Carpet Recycling Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)	.11
Exhibit 16.	Carpet Combustion Emissions Factor Calculation	.12
Exhibit 17.	Utility Emissions Offset From Carpet Combustion	.12
Exhibit 18.	Carpet Combustion Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)	.13
Exhibit 19.	Material Composition of a Desktop Personal Computer (CPU and Monitor)	.14
Exhibit 20.	Personal Computer Source Reduction Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)	.15
Exhibit 21.	Process Energy Emissions Calculations.	.16
Exhibit 22.	Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations	.17
Exhibit 23.	Process Non-energy Emissions Factor for Personal Computer Manufacturing	.17
Exhibit 24.	Fate of Recycled Personal Computers: Secondary Products and Percent Composition	.18
Exhibit 25.	Personal Computer Recycling Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)	.18
Exhibit 26.	Asphalt Process Energy Emissions Calculations for Virgin Materials	.19
Exhibit 27.	Asphalt Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations for Virgin Materials	.20
Exhibit 28.	Asphalt Process Non-energy Emissions for Virgin Materials	.20
Exhibit 29.	Asphalt Process Energy Emissions Calculations for Recycled Materials	.21
Exhibit 30.	Asphalt Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations for Recycled Materials	.22
Exhibit 31.	Asphalt Process Non-energy Emissions for Recycled Materials	.22
Exhibit 32.	Difference in Emissions Between Virgin and Recycled Asphalt Manufacture (MTCE/Ton)	.23
Exhibit 33.	Calculation of Adjusted GHG Savings for Personal Computers Recycled into Asphalt	.23
Exhibit 34.	Personal Computer Recycling Emission Factors (MTCE/Ton)	.24
Exhibit 35.	Personal Computer Combustion Emissions Factor Calculation	.24
Exhibit 36.	Utility Emissions Offset From Personal Computer Combustion	.25
Exhibit 37.	Steel Production Emissions Offset From Personal Computer Combustion	.25
	PC Combustion Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)	
Exhibit 39.	Current Baseline GHG Emissions and Reduction Potential for Carpet	.27
Exhibit 40.	Current Baseline GHG Emissions and Reduction Potential for Personal Computers	.27

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This paper describes the methodology and data sources used to develop greenhouse gas (GHG) emission factors for carpet and PCs. The emission factors presented below are the latest in a series of emission factors developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). EPA's research into the link between GHG emissions and waste management began in 1994 and continues today. In 1998, EPA published Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Selected Materials in Municipal Solid Waste, which presented the methodology for conducting a life-cycle assessment of the GHG impacts of waste management for commonly-recycled materials in the municipal solid waste stream. The key results of the report included life-cycle GHG emission factors for 12 materials and 5 waste management options: source reduction, recycling, composting, combustion, and landfilling. These emission factors were the basis for a user-friendly spreadsheet tool called the WAste Reduction Model (WARM). WARM was designed to assist waste managers in quantifying the GHG benefits of their waste management practices.

As research on life-cycle impacts of waste management practices on these and other materials progressed, it became necessary to update both the report and WARM. Both were updated to include: (1) new data on energy and recycling loss rates, (2) an improved analysis of the GHG benefits of composting, (3) emission factors for several new material types and new categories of mixed materials, (4) new energy data for the calculation of utility offsets, (5) revised carbon coefficients and fuel mixes for national average electricity generation, and (6) updated information on landfill gas recovery practices. The revised report, published in 2002, is entitled Solid Waste Management and Greenhouse Gases: A Life Cycle Assessment of Emissions and Sinks, and covers 16 individual materials found in the municipal solid waste stream (e.g., aluminum cans, newspaper, dimensional lumber) and 7 categories of mixed materials (e.g., mixed paper, mixed plastics).¹

All emission factors included in the first and second versions of the report have focused on either specific materials (e.g., steel cans) or mixed materials (e.g., mixed recyclables). In 1999, EPA began investigating the feasibility of developing product-level emission factors. This paper describes the methods EPA used to apply the life-cycle approach presented in the 1998 and 2002 reports to two composite products: carpet and personal computers (PCs). The complexity of these emission factors necessitated a separate report documenting the methodology, data sources, and assumptions we used.

EPA's interest in carpet grew out of an expanding effort in the area of product stewardship. Over the past several years, EPA has worked with carpet and fiber manufacturers, the Carpet and Rug Institute, state governments and non-governmental organizations to develop a voluntary product stewardship agreement on carpet. These efforts culminated in January of 2002 with the signing of a National Carpet Recycling Agreement. This agreement sets a national goal of diverting 40 percent of end-of-life carpet from landfill disposal by 2012. A product level GHG emission factor for carpet will enable EPA to help quantify the climate benefit of recycling and reusing a continually increasing quantity of used carpet as the parties to the National Carpet Recycling Agreement work towards meeting its goals. In 2000, carpet and rugs accounted for 2.6 million tons of waste in the U.S., representing 1.1 percent of the total U.S. wastestream.³ According to EPA, only 30,000 tons or 3.6 percent of total generation was recycled in 2000, up from negligible recovery in 1990.

EPA's interest in understanding the GHG impacts of waste management for PCs was threefold. First, electronics are among the most rapidly growing categories of the U.S. wastestream. Sales of electronics have been increasing dramatically, and due to the fairly short period between purchase and discard, sales are expected to grow significantly in the future. Second, electronics contain valuable materials that can be reused and/or recycled, including precious metals such as gold, silver, and palladium. Third, many electronic products contain toxic materials that are covered by hazardous waste regulations. Although detailed figures on waste generation are not available for PCs, EPA estimates that 916,000 tons of information products (e.g., telephones, answering machines,

¹ Report is available online at the following website: http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming/actions/waste/warm.htm.

² For additional information on this product stewardship agreement see Carpet America Recovery Effort's (CARE) website at: http://www.carpetrecoverv.org/>.

³ EPA. Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 2000 Facts and Figures. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, EPA 530-R-02-001. 2002.

fax machines, modems, printers, monitors, and PCs) were generated in 2000. Of that, only 54,960 tons were estimated to have been recycled.

The emission factors for carpet and PCs were categorized into source reduction, recycling, combustion, and landfilling. Source reduction emissions factors were calculated as the avoided GHG emissions from the manufacture of carpet and PCs, including process energy, process non-energy, and transportation emissions. Recycling emission factors represent the GHG benefit of manufacturing secondary products with recycled inputs rather than manufacturing those same products using virgin inputs. Combustion emission factors were based on the GHG emissions from the combustion of carpet and PCs, and include offsets from energy recovery. Landfilling emission factors were based solely on transportation related emissions, since neither carpet nor PCs generate methane when disposed in a landfill.

The primary source of data used in the creation of carpet and PC emission factors was life-cycle research conducted by Franklin Associates Ltd. (FAL) for EPA in 2002. This research provided detailed information on carpet and PC manufacturing processes, as well as related secondary product manufacturing processes. All the information and data from FAL that was utilized in developing the GHG emission factors for carpet and PCs is included in this report via exhibits and appendixes.

Emission factors for carpet and PCs are presented in Exhibit 1 in units of metric tons of carbon equivalent per ton of product (MTCE/ton). These emission factors are comparable to those presented in Exhibit ES-4 of the 2002 EPA report. In terms of magnitude, source reduction of PCs is by far the most beneficial waste management practice characterized to date, largely due to the energy-intensive nature of manufacturing a PC (particularly fabrication of silicon wafers). Source reduction of carpet falls within the range of existing values for source reduction (e.g., -2.49 MTCE/ton for aluminum cans and -0.14 MTCE/ton for glass). In terms of recycling, both carpet and PCs have the potential for significant recycling benefits, with recycling emission factors of -1.99 MTCE/ton and -0.76 MTCE/ton, respectively. These values fall within the range of values for other materials analyzed in the report (e.g., -4.11 MTCE/ton for aluminum cans and -0.08 MTCE/ton for glass). Next to aluminum, the GHG savings for recycling carpet are the highest of the materials analyzed to date.

Exhibit 1. Carpet and PC Emission Factors (MTCE/Ton)

Product	Net Source Reduction Emissions For Current Mix of Inputs	Net Recycling Emissions	Net Composting Emissions	Net Combustion Emissions	Net Landfilling Emissions
Carpet	-1.11	-1.99	NA	0.09	0.01
PCs	-15.51	-0.76	NA	-0.06	0.01

I. CARPET

Due to the great variability in the composition and uses of carpet, we limited our life-cycle study of GHG emissions from managing carpet waste to nylon broadloom residential carpet only. Other fibers used for carpet face fiber, such as wool or polyester, were not considered. The components of nylon broadloom residential carpet in this analysis include: face fiber, primary and secondary backing, and latex used for attaching the backings. These components are briefly described below:

- The <u>face fiber</u> used for nylon carpet is typically made of *either* nylon 6 or nylon 6,6 (face fiber rarely includes a mix of nylon 6 and nylon 6,6). However, for the purpose of developing an emission factor that represents "typical" nylon broadloom residential carpet, our analysis reflects the market share of each material in the nylon carpet industry (45 percent nylon 6 and 55 percent nylon 6,6).
- <u>Carpet backing</u> typically consists of polypropylene. Inputs to the manufacture of polypropylene are crude oil and/or natural gas.
- For <u>latex</u> used to adhere carpet backings, we modeled styrene butadiene, the most common latex used for this purpose. Styrene butadiene latex is commonly compounded with a filler such as calcium carbonate (limestone).

The process used to turn these components into a finished carpet may include weaving, tufting, needlepunching, and/or knitting. According to the Carpet and Rug Institute, 90 percent of carpet produced in the United States is tufted. During tufting, face pile yarns are rapidly sewn into a primary backing by a wide multineedled machine. After the face pile yarns are sewn into the primary backing, a layer of latex is used to secure a secondary backing, which adds strength and dimensional stability to the carpet.

Although most waste carpet is disposed, roughly 3.6 percent of carpet is recovered for recycling. Unlike most of the other materials analyzed by EPA to date, carpet is not recycled into more carpet, but rather into one of three secondary products: carpet pad, molded products, and carpet backing.

The following sections describe how we used information on carpet and end uses for recycled carpet to develop life-cycle GHG emission factors for source reduction, recycling, combustion, and landfilling.

Source Reduction

Source reduction activities reduce the amount of carpet that needs to be produced, and consequently, reduce GHG emissions associated with carpet production. Source reduction of carpet can be achieved through using less carpeting material per square foot (i.e., thinner carpet), or by finding a way to make existing carpet last longer through cleaning or repair.

The GHG benefits of source reduction are calculated as the avoided emissions from the raw materials acquisition and manufacture of carpet. The energy used in these processes is primarily fossil fuel derived, resulting in GHG emissions. In addition, energy is required to obtain the fuels used in carpet manufacturing. The calculation of avoided GHG emissions for carpet was broken up into three components: process energy, transportation energy, and non-energy emissions. Exhibit 2 presents these results, as well as the net GHG emission factor for source reduction. Appendix A presents the raw data utilized in these calculations.

Exhibit 2. Carpet Source Reduction Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Avoided Transportation	Avoided Process	Net Emissions Reduction
Avoided Process Energy	Energy	Non-Energy	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})$
-0.94	-0.03	-0.14	-1.11

Avoided Process Energy

In carpet manufacturing, energy is required to obtain raw materials and to operate carpet manufacturing equipment, as well as to extract and refine the fuels used in the carpet manufacturing process (i.e., "precombustion" energy). Process energy GHG emissions result from both the direct combustion of fossil fuels and the upstream emissions associated with electricity use. To estimate process emissions, we first obtained an estimate of the total energy required to produce one ton of carpet, which is reported as 60.32 million Btu.⁴ Next, we determined the distribution of fuels that comprise this Btu estimate. Using this information, we then multiplied each fuel's Btu estimate by each fuel's carbon content to obtain carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions for each fuel. The carbon coefficients we used are presented in Exhibit 3. We then conducted a similar analysis for fugitive methane (CH₄) emissions, using fuel-specific CH₄ coefficients. Finally, total process energy GHG emissions were calculated as the sum of GHG emissions, including both CO₂ and CH₄, from all the fuel types used in the production of one ton of carpet. The calculations for process energy emissions from manufacturing carpet are provided in Exhibit 3. As the exhibit shows, the process energy for carpet results in 0.94 MTCE per ton of carpet produced.

(b) (d) **(f)** (a) (c) (e) (g) **Million Btu Fuel-specific Process Process Total Process** Fugitive CH₄ Energy CO₂ Energy CH₄ used for Carbon **Energy** Carpet Coefficient **Emissions Emissions Emissions Emissions** Percent of **Production** (MTCE/ MTCE/Million (MTCE/Ton) (MTCE/Ton) (MTCE/Ton) Million Btu)b Btu^b Fuel Type Total Btu^a $(=60.32 \times a)$ $(=b \times c)$ $(=b \times d)$ (=e+f)Gasoline 0.2860 0.0001 0.0055 0.4741 0.0192 0.0055 0.0000 LPG 0.0368 0.0222 0.0169 0.0001 0.0004 0.0000 0.0004 Distillate Fuel 0.6698 0.4040 0.0199 0.0001 0.0080 0.0000 0.0081 Residual Fuel 1.4821 0.8940 0.0214 0.0001 0.0191 0.0192 0.0001 Diesel 0.0000 0.0000 0.0199 0.0001 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 National Average Fuel Mix for Electricity 52.0555 31.3999 0.0158 0.0006 0.4959 0.0185 0.5145 Coal Used by Industry (Non-Coking Coal) 0.8190 0.4940 0.0251 0.0009 0.0124 0.0005 0.0129 Natural Gas 44.0980 26.5999 0.0138 0.0007 0.3666 0.0186 0.3852 0.2785 Nuclear 0.1680 0.0008 0.0000 0.0001 0.0000 0.0001 Total 100 0.90 60.32 n/a n/a 0.04 0.94

Exhibit 3. Process Energy Emissions Calculations

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

Transportation Energy

Transportation energy GHG emissions result from the combustion of fossil fuels to transport carpet raw materials and intermediate products. The methodology for estimating transportation energy GHG emissions is similar to the methodology for process emissions. Based upon an estimate of total carpet transportation energy and the corresponding fuel mix, we calculated total transportation energy emissions using fuel-specific coefficients for CO_2 and CH_4 . The result is a transportation GHG emission factor of 0.03 MTCE per ton of carpet, as shown in Exhibit 4.

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix A.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

⁴ This total represents the sum of pre-combustion and combustion process energy.

⁵ Note: As with other materials for which we have developed GHG emission factors, transportation of finished goods to consumers was not included in the analysis.

Exhibit 4. Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
							Total
		Million Btu	Fuel-specific		Transport	Transport	Transport
		used for	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		Carpet	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of		(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	`	` ′	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	(=1.36 x a)	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	(=e+f)
Gasoline	0.1466	0.0020	0.0192	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
LPG	0.0733	0.0010	0.0169	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Distillate Fuel	0.3957	0.0054	0.0199	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Residual Fuel	28.6108	0.3891	0.0214	0.0001	0.0083	0.0000	0.0084
Diesel	51.8864	0.7057	0.0199	0.0001	0.0140	0.0001	0.0141
National Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	1.7589	0.0239	0.0158	0.0006	0.0004	0.0000	0.0004
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	0.8794	0.0120	0.0251	0.0009	0.0003	0.0000	0.0003
Natural Gas	15.8297	0.2153	0.0138	0.0007	0.0030	0.0002	0.0031
Nuclear	0.3225	0.0044	0.0008	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	100	1.36	n/a	n/a	0.03	0.0003	0.03

Process Non-energy Emissions

In addition to emissions associated with combustion, manufacturing results in non-energy GHG emissions. Non-energy GHG emissions occur during manufacturing but are not the result of combusting fuel for energy. Data on non-energy process emissions were provided by FAL for CO_2 , CH_4 , and nitrous oxide (N_2O) , in units of pounds of native gas per 1,000 pounds of carpet. These estimates were multiplied by a factor of two to convert from pounds per 1,000 pounds to pounds per short ton, and then converted to metric tons of gas per short ton. Next, the estimates were converted from metric tons of native gas to MTCE by multiplying by the MTCE per metric ton of gas. These calculations are shown in Exhibit 5.

Exhibit 5. Process Non-energy Emissions

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
			Metric Tons		
			of Gas per		
	T1 00	Lbs of Gas	Ton of Carpet		
	Lbs of Gas per	per Ton of	(=b / 2205		
	1,000 Lbs of	Carpet	Metric Tons	MTCE/Metric	MTCE/Ton of
Gas	Carpet ^a	$(=a \times 2)$	per Pound)	Ton of Gas	Carpet (=c x d)
CO_2	9.71	19.42	.0088	0.27	0.0024
CH_4	2.72	5.44	.0025	5.73	0.0141
N_2O	1.6	3.2	.0015	84.55	0.1227
Total	NA	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1392

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

Finally, it should be noted that for most materials, a portion of the material in new production is recycled product (e.g., aluminum can production typically utilizes some recycled aluminum). For this reason, we have

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix A.

b. Source: U.S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

developed two source reduction emission factors – "current mix" and "100 percent virgin" – for all materials analyzed in the 2002 EPA report. The current mix emission factor is more conservative, assuming that source reduction displaces the current mixture of virgin/recycled product. However, since carpet is currently produced using 100 percent virgin materials, the two emission factors for carpet are identical – both assume that carpet source reduction displaces 100 percent virgin materials.

The final source reduction emission factor, -1.11 MTCE/ton, was calculated by simply summing the avoided process energy emissions, transportation energy emissions, and process non-energy emissions as given in Exhibits 3 through 5.

Recycling

According to EPA, 3.6 percent of carpet is recycled annually.⁶ New efforts by industry, EPA, and other organizations are expected to significantly increase the fraction of waste carpet that is recycled. EPA hopes that the GHG emission factor for carpet can be used to characterize the benefits of these increased recycling efforts.

Unlike most of the materials for which EPA has developed GHG emission factors (e.g., aluminum cans, glass bottles), carpet is assumed to be recycled in an "open loop" – i.e., carpet is recycled into new products other than new carpet. Therefore, the GHG benefits of carpet recycling result from the avoided emissions associated with the manufacture of the *secondary* products that carpet is recycled into (since the recycling would only affect the production of the secondary products). Secondary products resulting from carpet recycling include: carpet pad, molded products, and carpet backing. Carpet pad is used as a cushion layer between the carpet and the floor that provides thermal and acoustical insulation, and resilience. Molded products for automobiles are used in a wide range of applications, from air intake assemblies to headrests. The carpet backing produced from recycled carpet is generally used to secure the yarn and provide dimensional stability to commercial carpeting. The percentage of recycled carpet that each of these secondary products comprises is shown in Exhibit 6.

To calculate the GHG benefits of recycling carpet, we compared the difference in emissions associated with manufacturing a ton of each of the secondary products from virgin versus recycled materials, after accounting for "losses" that occur in the recycling process. The results for each of the secondary products were then weighted by the distribution shown in Exhibit 6 to obtain a composite emission factor for recycling a ton of carpet.

Similar to source reduction, the calculation of avoided GHG emissions for carpet was broken up into three components: process energy, transportation energy, and non-energy emissions. Exhibit 7 displays these results for all three secondary products after being weighted by the percentages in Exhibit 6; these results are followed by the total GHG emission factor for recycling. Appendix B presents the raw data utilized in these calculations.

Exhibit 6. Fate of Recycled Carpet: Secondary Products and Percent Composition

Secondary Product	Percent Composition
Carpet Pad/Cushion	67
Molded Products (auto parts)	25
Backing for Commercial Carpet Tiles	8

_

⁶ EPA. Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 2000 Facts and Figures. 2002. p. 67

Exhibit 7. Carpet Recycling Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Avoided Process	Avoided Transportation	Avoided Process	Net Emission Factor
Energy	Energy	Non-Energy	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})$
-1.5	-0.02	-0.47	-1.99

To calculate each component of the recycling emission factor for the secondary products, the following steps were necessary:

- Step 1: Calculate the emissions for virgin production of one ton of the secondary product for each emission factor component (e.g., the process energy emissions for virgin production of carpet pad/cushion).
- Step 2: Calculate the equivalent emissions for recycled production of one ton of the secondary product.
- Step 3. Calculate the difference in emissions between virgin and recycled production.
- Step 4. Adjust the difference in emissions to account for recycling losses.
- Step 5: Weight the results by the percentage of recycled carpet that the secondary material comprises.

These steps are described in more detail below, with illustrative exhibits provided for "Molded Products (auto parts)" (hereafter called "molded auto parts"). Similar calculations were used for the other secondary products.

Step 1. Calculate the emissions for virgin production of one ton of the secondary product. Since the GHG benefits of recycling are calculated as difference in emissions between virgin and recycled production, we first calculated emissions for virgin production. As described in the section on source reduction, both process and transportation energy emissions are calculated by applying fuel-specific emissions coefficients to energy data for raw materials acquisition and manufacturing. The calculations for virgin process and transportation emissions for molded auto parts are shown in Exhibits 8 and 9, respectively. Exhibit 10 presents the estimates of process non-energy data for virgin molded auto parts.

7

⁷ Note that emissions reductions for source reduction are calculated for both 100 percent virgin and the "current mix" of virgin/recycled inputs. It is assumed that incremental increases in recycling offset only virgin production, and consequently, we do not provide "current mix" recycling emission factors.

Exhibit 8. Molded Auto Parts – Process Energy Emissions Calculations for Virgin Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
		Million Btu	Fuel-specific		Process	Process	Total Process
		used for	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		Molded Auto	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of	Parts	(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	,	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	$(=113.75 \times a)$	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	(=e+f)
Gasoline	0.4009	0.4560	0.0192	0.0001	0.0088	0.0000	0.0088
LPG	0.0190	0.0216	0.0169	0.0001	0.0004	0.0000	0.0004
Distillate Fuel	0.6752	0.7680	0.0199	0.0001	0.0153	0.0001	0.0153
Residual Fuel	1.1253	1.2800	0.0214	0.0001	0.0274	0.0001	0.0275
Diesel	0.0000	0.0000	0.0199	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
National Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	61.1881	69.6015	0.0158	0.0006	1.0993	0.0411	1.1404
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	0.7912	0.9000	0.0251	0.0009	0.0226	0.0008	0.0234
Natural Gas	35.4117	40.2808	0.0138	0.0007	0.5551	0.0282	0.5833
Nuclear	0.2989	0.3400	0.0008	0.0000	0.0003	0.0000	0.0003
Total	100	113.75	n/a	n/a	1.73	0.07	1.80

Exhibit 9. Molded Auto Parts – Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations for Virgin Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
		Million Btu					Total
		used for	Fuel-specific		Transport	Transport	Transport
		Molded Auto	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		Parts	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of		(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	` /	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	(=1.51 x a)	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	(=e+f)
Gasoline	0.1587	0.0024	0.0192	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
LPG	0.0661	0.0010	0.0169	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Distillate Fuel	0.3836	0.0058	0.0199	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Residual Fuel	43.1527	0.6516	0.0214	0.0001	0.0140	0.0001	0.0140
Diesel	35.1889	0.5314	0.0199	0.0001	0.0106	0.0001	0.0106
National Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	2.5135	0.0380	0.0158	0.0006	0.0006	0.0000	0.0006
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	0.8070	0.0122	0.0251	0.0009	0.0003	0.0000	0.0003
Natural Gas	17.3299	0.2617	0.0138	0.0007	0.0036	0.0002	0.0038
Nuclear	0.3043	0.0046	0.0008	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	100	1.51	n/a	n/a	0.03	0.0003	0.03

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix B.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix B.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

Exhibit 10. Molded Auto Parts - Process Non-energy Emissions for Virgin Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c) Metric Tons	(d)	(e)
		Lbs of Gas	of Gas per Ton of Molded Auto		
	Lbs of Gas per 1,000 Lbs of Molded Auto	per Ton of Molded Auto Parts	Parts (=b / 2205 Metric Tons	MTCE/Metric	MTCE/Ton of Molded Auto
Gas	Parts	(=a x 2)	per Pound)	Ton of Gas	Parts (=c x d)
CO_2	17	34	0.0154	0.27	0.0042
CH ₄	3.76	7.52	0.0034	5.73	0.0195
N ₂ O	6.42	12.84	0.0058	84.55	0.4923
Total	NA	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.52

Step 2. Calculate the emissions for recycled production of one ton of the secondary product. After estimating emissions from virgin production of secondary products, we then conducted a similar analysis for recycled production. Exhibits 11 and 12 show the results for process energy emissions and transportation emissions for molded auto parts, respectively. There are no reported non-energy emissions from the production of molded auto parts from recycled carpet.

Exhibit 11. Molded Auto Parts - Process Energy Emissions Calculations for Recycled Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
		Million Btu	Fuel-specific		Process	Process	Total Process
		used for	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		Molded Auto	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of	Parts	(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	$(=20.24 \times a)$	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	(=e+f)
Gasoline	0.1186	0.0240	0.0192	0.0001	0.0005	0.0000	0.0005
LPG	0.0034	0.0007	0.0169	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Distillate Fuel	0.6818	0.1380	0.0199	0.0001	0.0027	0.0000	0.0028
Residual Fuel	0.2569	0.0520	0.0214	0.0001	0.0011	0.0000	0.0011
Diesel	0.0000	0.0000	0.0199	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
National Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	95.4513	19.3194	0.0158	0.0006	0.3051	0.0114	0.3165
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	0.9486	0.1920	0.0251	0.0009	0.0048	0.0002	0.0050
Natural Gas	2.0750	0.4200	0.0138	0.0007	0.0058	0.0003	0.0061
Nuclear	0.3557	0.0720	0.0008	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Total	100	20.24	n/a	n/a	0.32	0.01	0.03

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix B.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

Exhibit 12. Molded Auto Parts – Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations for Recycled Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
		Million Btu					Total
		used for	Fuel-specific		Transport	Transport	Transport
		Molded Auto		Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		Parts	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of	Transport	(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	(MTCE/Ton)	` /	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	(=1.05 x a)	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	(=e+f)
Gasoline	0.1012	0.0011	0.0192	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
LPG	0.0783	0.0008	0.0169	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Distillate Fuel	0.3819	0.0040	0.0199	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Residual Fuel	3.8192	0.0401	0.0214	0.0001	0.0009	0.0000	0.0009
Diesel	87.8416	0.9223	0.0199	0.0001	0.0183	0.0001	0.0184
National Average							
Fuel Mix for	0.0000	0.0000	0.0150	0.0006	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Electricity	0.0000	0.0000	0.0158	0.0006	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-	0.0502	0.0000	0.0051	0.0000	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002
Coking Coal)	0.8593	0.0090	0.0251	0.0009	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002
Natural Gas	6.4926	0.0682	0.0138	0.0007	0.0009	0.0000	0.0010
Nuclear	0.3246	0.0034	0.0008	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	100	1.05	n/a	n/a	0.02	0.0002	0.02

Step 3. Calculate the difference in emissions between virgin and recycled production. The GHG savings associated with recycling were then calculated by subtracting the recycled emissions estimate from the virgin emissions estimate using the results from steps 1 and 2. The results are shown in Exhibit 13.

Exhibit 13. Emission Difference Between Virgin and Recycled Molded Auto Parts Manufacture (MTCE/Ton)

	Process Energy Emissions	Transportation Energy Emissions	Process Non- energy Emissions
Virgin Manufacture	0.45	0.01	0.13
Recycled Manufacture	0.08	0.01	0.00
Difference	0.37	0	0.13

Step 4. Adjust the emissions differences to account for recycling losses. For almost every material that gets recycled, some portion of the recovered material is unsuitable for use as a recycled input. This portion is discarded either in the recovery stage or in the manufacturing stage. Consequently, less than one ton of new material is typically made from one ton of recovered materials. Material losses are quantified and translated into loss rates. In the case of carpet, no data were available on recovery-stage losses, so we assumed no losses during this stage. For the recycling stage, data indicated a loss rate for molded auto parts of 0.5 percent. Zero loss rates were reported for the other two secondary products (carpet pad and backing for commercial carpet tiles).

In order to account for the fact that not all of the carpet recovered for use as molded auto parts was actually used for this purpose, it was necessary to multiply the emissions differences from step 3 for each of the emissions components by the recycling "retention" rate (i.e., 1 – the loss rate). Exhibit 14 shows this calculation for molded auto parts.

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix B.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

Exhibit 14. Calculation of Adjusted GHG Savings for Carpet Recycled into Molded Auto Parts

	(a) Virgin Production (MTCE/Ton)	(b) Recycled Production (MTCE/Ton)	(c) Unadjusted GHG Savings (MTCE/Ton) (=a - b)	(d) Recycling Retention Rate (=1005)	(e) Adjusted GHG Savings (MTCE/Ton) (=c x d)
Process Energy	1.79	0.33	1.46	0.995	1.45
Transportation					
Energy	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.995	0.01
Process Non-					
energy	0.51	0	0.51	0.995	0.51

Step 5. Weight the results by the percentage of recycled carpet that the secondary material comprises. Once the individual GHG differences are calculated for each of the secondary products, the final step is to weight the differences by their relative percentages, as provided in Exhibit 6. In the case of molded auto parts, the MTCE/ton estimates from step 4 were weighted by the percentage of recycled carpet converted to molded auto parts (25 percent), as shown below:

Process Energy: $1.45 \text{ MTCE/ton}_{unweighted}$ x 25 % = 0.36 MTCE/ton Transportation Energy: $0.01 \text{ MTCE/ton}_{unweighted}$ x 25 % = 0.002 MTCE/ton Process Non-energy: $0.51 \text{ MTCE/ton}_{unweighted}$ x 25 % = 0.13 MTCE/ton

The weighted results for all three secondary materials are shown in Exhibit 15.

Exhibit 15. Carpet Recycling Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)

	(a) Avoided Process Energy	(b) Avoided Trans- portation Energy	(c) Avoided Process Non- Energy	(d) Total (=a + b + c)
Carpet				
Pad/Cushion	1.1	0.01	0.34	1.46
Molded				
Products				
(auto parts)	0.36	0.002	0.13	0.49
Backing for				
Commercial				
Carpet Tiles	0.03	0.0004	0.003	0.03
Net	_			
Emissions				
Reduction	1.5	0.02	0.47	1.99

Note: Results for molded auto parts, derived in Exhibits 8 through 14, are shaded above.

Combustion

Currently, 19 percent of carpet in the national municipal solid waste stream is combusted. Combustion results in both direct and indirect emissions: direct emissions from the combustion process itself and indirect emissions associated with transportation to the combustor. To the extent that carpet combusted at waste-to-energy (WTE) facilities produces electricity, combustion offsets CO₂ emissions from electric utilities.

According to guidelines published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), emissions of CO₂ from biogenic sources are not included in GHG accounting because these emissions are assumed to be part

of the natural carbon cycle.⁸ However, we estimated that 53 percent of carbon in carpet is non-biogenic and that 98 percent of the non-biogenic carbon is converted to CO₂ during combustion.⁹ Direct CO₂ emissions from combustion of carpet were estimated at 0.47 MTCE per ton of carpet. Exhibit 16 shows how we calculate this estimate.

Exhibit 16. Carpet Combustion Emissions Factor Calculation

Components	(a) Percent of Total Weight of Combusted Carpet	(b) Percent Non-Biomass Carbon Content	(c) Non-Biomass Carbon Content % of Total Weight (=a x b)	(d) Factored by % Carbon to CO ₂ (=c x 0.98)	(e) MTCE per Ton of Carpet (=d / 1.1023)
Styrene-butadiene			(,	((
(latex)	8	90	7	7	0.06
Limestone	32	12	4	4	0.03
Polypropylene	15	86	13	13	0.11
Nylon	45	64	29	28	0.26
Total			53		0.47

As with other materials covered in EPA's *Solid Waste Management and Greenhouse Gases: A Life Cycle Assessment of Emissions and Sinks*, we estimated CO₂ emissions from the transportation of carpet to WTE plants and ash from the WTE plant to the landfill using data provided by FAL. Transportation-related CO₂ emissions were estimated to be 0.01 MTCE.

Because most utility power plants use fossil fuels to produce electricity, the electricity produced at WTE facilities reduces the demand for fossil-derived electricity and the associated CO_2 emissions. Avoided utility CO_2 emissions were calculated based on the energy content of the carpet, the combustion efficiency of the WTE plant including transmission and distribution losses, and the CO_2 emissions avoided by the power plant on a per kilowatthour (kwh) basis. The estimate of emissions avoided per kwh reflects the national average mix of fuel sources and the resulting CO_2 emissions. Carpet combustion was estimated to result in utility offsets of 0.39 MTCE per ton, as shown in Exhibit 17.

Exhibit 17. Utility Emissions Offset From Carpet Combustion

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
			Emission Factor for	Avoided Utility CO ₂
			Utility-Generated	Per Ton Combusted
	Energy Content	Mass Burn	Electricity (MTCE/	at Mass Burn
Energy Content (Btu	(Million Btu Per Ton)	Combustion System	Million Btu of	Facilities (MTCE)
Per Pound)	$(=a \times 0.002)$	Efficiency (Percent)	Electricity Delivered)	$(=\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{d})$
13,400	26.8	0.18	0.08	0.39

As shown in Exhibit 18, the net combustion emission factor for carpet is equal to the sum of the carpet combustion CO₂ emissions and transportation emissions, minus the utility offset, or 0.09 MTCE per ton.

⁸ See page 12 of EPA's Solid Waste Management and Greenhouse Gases: A Life Cycle Assessment of Emissions and Sinks.

⁹ This calculation is based upon the percent composition of non-biogenic latex, limestone, polypropylene and nylon components of carpet.

Exhibit 18. Carpet Combustion Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
			Net Combustion Emissions
Combustion Emissions	Transportation Energy	Avoided Utility Emissions	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{c})$
0.47	0.01	0.39	0.09

Landfilling

Roughly 77 percent of carpet is landfilled after entering the municipal solid waste stream, making landfilling the most commonly-selected waste management option for carpet. Typically, the emission factor for landfilling is comprised of four parts: landfill CH_4 , CO_2 emissions from transportation and landfill equipment, landfill carbon storage, and avoided utility emissions. However, as with other inorganic materials for which EPA has developed emission factors, there are zero landfill methane emissions, landfill carbon storage, or avoided utility emissions associated with landfilling carpet. As a result, the emission factor for landfilling represents the CO_2 emissions associated with combusting diesel fuel to collect the waste and operate the landfill equipment. These emissions were estimated at 0.01 MTCE per ton of carpet landfilled. ¹⁰

II. PERSONAL COMPUTERS

This section presents the methodology used to estimate the life-cycle GHG impacts of end-of-life waste management options for PCs. The main components of a PC are the central processing unit (CPU) and the monitor. The CPU consists of housing (mostly steel) and internal electronic components, while the monitor's primary components are the cathode ray tube (CRT), plastic case, and circuit boards.

Due to the heterogeneity of PCs, it is difficult to specify the exact composition of a typical PC. Some characterizations of PCs include monitors and peripheral equipment (e.g., keyboards, external cables, printers), while others exclude these components. For this study, we considered both the CPU and monitor, but did not include peripherals. In addition, this study represents a "snapshot" of a typical PC at the time this report was published. Since PC technology continues to evolve rapidly (e.g., replacement of traditional PC monitors with thinner "flat screen" monitors), the assumptions regarding material composition of PCs may be revisited in future years. Likewise, the fate of recycled PC materials (e.g., plastics into asphalt) may also be affected by technological changes in recycling processes. The material composition of a desktop PC is provided in Exhibit 19. Summary information on each of the PC components is provided below.

¹⁰ Landfill data obtained from Franklin Associates, Ltd., *The Role of Recycling in Integrated Solid Waste Management for the Year 2000* (Stamford, CT: Keep America Beautiful, Inc.) September 1994, p. I-5.

Exhibit 19. Material Composition of a Desktop Personal Computer (CPU and Monitor)

		Percent of Total	Weight (lbs) (Assuming a 70 lb
Material	Application(s)	Weight	computer)
Plastics			
ABS	Monitor case and other	8.0	5.6
PPO/HIPS	molded parts	5.3	3.7
TBBPA		5.7	4.0
(flame retardant)			
Glass	CRT glass/ Substrate for PWBs	22.0	15.4
Lead	CRT glass / Electronic connections	8.0	5.6
Steel	CPU case / CRT shield	28.6	20.0
Copper	PWB conductor / wiring	6.6	4.6
Zinc	Galvanization of CPU case	3.0	2.1
Aluminum	Structural components/ PWB conductor	9.5	6.7
Other	Metals and plastics for disk	3.3	2.3
	drives, fasteners, and power supplies.		
Total		100	70 lbs

The number of components that comprise a PC and the complexity associated with manufacturing the various components required that we focus our efforts on the key materials and processes of PC production. In particular, the life-cycle analysis of PC production includes the following steps:

- Chip manufacture (including wafer production, fabrication, and packaging). A chip (or integrated circuit) is a compact device made of a semi-conducting material such as silicon. Chip manufacture requires thousands of steps, but the primary steps are wafer production, wafer fabrication, and chip packaging.
- *Printed wiring board production*. Printed wiring boards (PWBs) are part of the circuitry in electronic products and are the second largest source of lead in municipal solid waste (CRTs are the largest source).
- *CRT production*. Computer monitors and televisions are the two largest applications for CRTs. A CRT is made of many materials and sub-assemblies, including a glass funnel, glass neck, faceplate (screen), electron gun, shadow mask, phosphors, and PWBs.
- Monitor housing production. The monitor case is made of one or more types of plastic resin
 including acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), polyphenylene ether alloys (referred to as PPE or
 PPO), and high impact polystyrene (HIPS). Monitor production also involves incorporation of
 flame retardants into the monitor housing.
- *CPU housing production*. CPU cases are made of plastic panels and face plates and steel for structural stability. Much of the steel used in CPU cases is scrap steel, the rest is manufactured from virgin inputs.
- *PC assembly*. PCs are assembled manually, and the main energy requirement is the operation of conveyor belts for the assembly line.

As with carpet, PCs are not recycled into more PCs; therefore, the life-cycle analysis of GHG emissions associated with their disposal must take into account the various second generation products that result from

recycling PCs. Data on PC recycling and the resulting second generation products is very sparse; however, we attempted to model the most likely pathways for recycled components of PCs using data provided by FAL. The second generation products included in this analysis include: glass cullet, lead bullion, scrap steel, scrap copper, scrap aluminum, and ground plastic as an input to asphalt manufacture.

The following sections describe how we used information on the individual components of PCs and information on the second generation products associated with recycling PCs to develop life-cycle GHG emission factors for source reduction, recycling, combustion, and landfilling.

Source Reduction

Source reduction activities reduce the amount of PCs that are produced, thereby reducing GHG emissions from PC production. Source reduction of PCs can be achieved through finding ways to make existing PCs last longer (e.g., through upgrades and using interchangeable parts), or by finding other alternatives to purchasing new PCs (e.g., using donated PCs).

The GHG benefits of source reduction are calculated as the avoided emissions from the raw materials acquisition and manufacture of PCs. In the case of PCs, these emissions are substantial, since PC manufacture (and in particular, silicon wafer production) is an energy-intensive process.

As was done for carpet, the calculation of avoided GHG emissions for PCs was broken up into three components: process energy, transportation energy, and non-energy emissions. Exhibit 20 displays these results, as well as the total GHG emission factor for source reduction. Appendix C presents the raw data utilized in these calculations.

(a) (b) (c) (d)
Avoided Transportation Avoided Process Net Emissions Reduction

Non-Energy

0.03

Exhibit 20. Personal Computer Source Reduction Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)

Energy

0.10

Avoided Process Emissions

Avoided Process Energy

15.38

The procedure for estimating process energy GHG emissions for PCs is the same as the procedure used for carpet. We first obtained an estimate of the amount of energy to produce one ton of PCs, which is reported as 945 million Btu. Next, we determined the fuel mix that comprised this Btu estimate. We then multiplied fuel consumption (in Btus) by fuel-specific carbon contents to obtain GHG emissions by fuel type. The total process energy GHG emissions were calculated as the sum of the GHG emissions, including CO₂ and CH₄, from all the fuel types used in the production of one ton of PCs. The calculations for the process energy used to produce PCs are presented in Exhibit 21. As the exhibit shows, the process energy for PCs is 15.51 MTCE per ton of PCs produced.

(=a+b+c)

15.51

Exhibit 21. Process Energy Emissions Calculations

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
			Fuel-specific		Process	Process	Total Process
		Million Btu	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		used for PC	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of		(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	(=945.13 x a)	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	(=e+f)
Gasoline	0.1365	1.2900	0.0192	0.0001	0.0248	0.0001	0.0250
LPG	0.0039	0.0368	0.0169	0.0001	0.0006	0.0000	0.0006
Distillate Fuel	0.7215	6.8191	0.0199	0.0001	0.1355	0.0007	0.1362
Residual Fuel	0.3774	3.5668	0.0214	0.0001	0.0764	0.0003	0.0767
Diesel	0.1038	0.9808	0.0199	0.0001	0.0195	0.0001	0.0196
National Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	92.4191	873.4792	0.0158	0.0006	13.7958	0.5119	14.3077
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	0.9671	9.1400	0.0251	0.0009	0.2294	0.0084	0.2379
Natural Gas	4.9976	47.2340	0.0138	0.0007	0.6509	0.0331	0.6841
Nuclear	0.3503	3.3109	0.0008	0.0000	0.0028	0.0000	0.0028
Total	100	945.13	n/a	n/a	14.96	0.56	15.38

Transportation energy

Transportation energy GHG emissions consist of fossil fuels used to transport PC raw materials and intermediate products. The methodology for estimating transportation energy GHG emissions is similar to that for process emissions. Based upon an estimate of total PC transportation energy in Btus, we calculated the total transportation energy emissions using fuel-specific carbon coefficients for CO_2 and CH_4 . The result is a transportation GHG emission factor of 0.1 MTCE per ton of PCs, as shown in Exhibit 22.

_

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix C.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

¹¹ Note: As with other materials for which we have developed GHG emission factors, transportation of finished goods to consumers was not included in the analysis.

Exhibit 22. Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
							Total
			Fuel-specific		Transport	Transport	Transport
		Million Btu	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		used for PC	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of		(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	` /	` ′	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	(=5.03 x a)	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	$(=\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{f})$
Gasoline	0.1027	0.0052	0.0192	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
LPG	0.0781	0.0039	0.0169	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Distillate Fuel	0.4110	0.0207	0.0199	0.0001	0.0004	0.0000	0.0004
Residual Fuel	60.2205	3.0291	0.0214	0.0001	0.0649	0.0003	0.0652
Diesel	30.6446	1.5414	0.0199	0.0001	0.0306	0.0002	0.0308
National Average							
Fuel Mix for	0.2072	0.0145	0.0150	0.0006	0.0002	0.0000	0.0000
Electricity	0.2873	0.0145	0.0158	0.0006	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002
Coal Used by Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	0.8630	0.0434	0.0251	0.0009	0.0011	0.0000	0.0011
Natural Gas	7.0271	0.0434	0.0231	0.0009	0.0011	0.0000	0.0011
Nuclear	0.3288	0.0165	0.0008	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	100	5.03	n/a	n/a	0.10	0.0008	0.10

Process Non-energy Emissions

Non-energy GHG emissions occur during manufacturing but are not the result of combusting fuel for energy. Data on non-process emissions were provided by FAL for CO_2 , and CH_4 in units of pounds of native gas. These data were then converted to MTCE using the same methodology as in the carpet section. The process non-energy emission results for PCs are shown in Exhibit 23.¹²

Exhibit 23. Process Non-energy Emissions Factor for Personal Computer Manufacturing

	(a)	(b)	(c) Metric Tons of Gas per	(d)	(e)
	Lbs of Gas per	Lbs of Gas per Ton of PCs	Ton of PCs (=b / 2205 Metric Tons	MTCE/Metric	MTCE/Ton of
Gas	1,000 Lbs of PCs	$(=a \times 2)$	per Pound)	Ton of Gas	PCs (= c x d)
CO ₂	84.2	168.4	0.0764	0.27	0.021
CH ₄	1.01	2.02	0.0009	5.73	0.005
Total	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.026

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

_

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix C.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

 $^{^{12}}$ FAL provided data on pounds of CO₂ and CH₄ per 1,000 pounds of PCs. First, these estimates were multiplied by two to convert from pounds per 1,000 pounds to pounds per short ton. Next, the estimates were converted from native gas to metric tons of carbon equivalent by dividing by the global warming potential (GWP) of each gas.

Finally, it should be noted that for most materials, a portion of the material in new production is recycled product (e.g., aluminum can production typically utilizes some recycled aluminum). In these cases, we have developed two source reduction emission factors – "current mix" and "100 percent virgin" – depending on whether the user wishes to assume that source reduction displaces only virgin product or the current mixture of virgin/recycled product. However, since PCs are currently produced using 100 percent virgin materials, the two emission factors are the same – both assume that carpet source reduction displaces 100 percent virgin materials.

The final source reduction emission factor, -15.51 MTCE/Ton, was calculated by summing the avoided process energy emissions, transportation energy emissions, and process non-energy emissions as provided in Exhibits 21 through 23.

Recycling

According to EPA, approximately 6 percent of PCs are recycled annually. Given the rapid growth of PC consumption and the shortening average lifespans of these products, a number of recent recycling initiatives have been undertaken by industry, EPA, and other organizations. This section describes how we developed emission factors to understand the GHG implications of these efforts.

Like carpet, PCs are also recycled in an "open loop." When PCs are recycled, they may be recycled into asphalt, steel sheet, lead bullion, CRT glass, copper wire, and aluminum sheet, as shown in Exhibit 24. Recovered plastic can be utilized as a filler component in the production of asphalt for road construction. Steel and aluminum sheet are used to produce a wide range of materials from auto parts to cookware. Recovered CRT glass can be utilized for the production of new CRT screens or processed to recover lead bullion which can be used to produce items such as batteries and X-ray shielding. Copper wire can be utilized in various electrical applications depending on its grade.

We calculated the GHG benefits of recycling PCs by comparing the difference in emissions associated with manufacturing a ton of each of the secondary products from virgin versus recycled materials, after accounting for "losses" that occur in the recycling process. The results for each of the secondary products were then weighted by the distribution shown in Exhibit 24 to obtain a composite emission factor for recycling a ton of PCs.

The calculation of avoided GHG emissions for PCs was broken up into three components: process energy, transportation energy, and process non-energy emissions. Exhibit 25 displays these results for all six secondary products after being weighted by the percentages in Exhibit 24, as well as the total GHG emission factor for recycling. Appendix D presents the raw data utilized in these calculations.

Exhibit 24. Fate of Recycled Personal Computers: Secondary Products and Percent Composition

Asphalt	38%
Steel Sheet	27%
Lead Bullion	10%
CRT Glass	2%
Copper Wire	5%
Aluminum Sheet	18%

Exhibit 25. Personal Computer Recycling Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Avoided Process	Avoided Transportation	Avoided Process	Net Emission Factor
Energy	Energy	Non-Energy	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})$
-0.49	-0.01	-0.25	-0.76

To calculate each component of the recycling emission factor for the secondary products, we followed the same five steps as described above in the carpet discussion. These steps are described in detail below, with illustrative exhibits provided for "asphalt." Similar calculations were used for the other secondary products.

Step 1. Calculate the emissions for virgin production of one ton of the secondary product. As with carpet, we began by calculating emissions for virgin production by applying fuel-specific emissions coefficients to energy data for raw materials acquisition and manufacturing. The calculations for virgin process and transportation emissions for asphalt are shown in Exhibits 26 and 27, respectively. Exhibit 28 presents the estimates of process non-energy emissions for asphalt.

Exhibit 26. Asphalt Process Energy Emissions Calculations for Virgin Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
			Fuel-specific		Process	Process	Total Process
		Million Btu	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		used for	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of	Asphalt	(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	(MTCE/Ton)	`	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	(=0.5 x a)	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	(=e+f)
Gasoline	1.0881	0.0054	0.0192	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
LPG	0.7407	0.0037	0.0169	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Distillate Fuel	4.8360	0.0242	0.0198	0.0001	0.0005	0.0000	0.0005
Residual Fuel	10.5587	0.0528	0.0214	0.0001	0.0011	0.0000	0.0011
Diesel	0.0000	0.0000	0.0198	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
National Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	22.1654	0.1108	0.0157	0.0006	0.0018	0.0001	0.0018
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	1.0478	0.0052	0.0251	0.0009	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Natural Gas	59.2419	0.2962	0.0137	0.0007	0.0041	0.0002	0.0043
Nuclear	0.2458	0.0012	0.0008	0.0010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	100	0.5	n/a	n/a	0.008	00003	0.01

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix D.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

Exhibit 27. Asphalt Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations for Virgin Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
							Total
			Fuel-specific		Transport	Transport	Transport
		Million Btu	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
	-	used for	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of	Asphalt	(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	(MTCE/Ton)	` ′	(MTCE/Ton)
E 100	Total Btu ^a	Transport	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	$(=\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{d})$	$(=\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{f})$
Fuel Type		(=0.2xa)					
Gasoline	0.1074	0.0002	0.0192	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
LPG	0.0771	0.0002	0.0169	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Distillate Fuel	0.4003	0.0008	0.0198	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Residual Fuel	41.9828	0.0840	0.0214	0.0001	0.0018	0.0000	0.0018
Diesel	47.2550	0.0945	0.0198	0.0001	0.0019	0.0000	0.0019
National Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	2.3432	0.0047	0.0157	0.0006	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	0.8592	0.0017	0.0251	0.0009	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Natural Gas	6.5415	0.0131	0.0137	0.0007	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002
Nuclear	0.3320	0.0007	0.0008	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	100	0.2	n/a	n/a	0.004	0.0000	0.004

Exhibit 28. Asphalt Process Non-energy Emissions for Virgin Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
			Metric Tons		
			of Gas per		
			Ton of		
		Lbs of Gas	Molded Auto		
		per Ton of	Parts		
	Lbs of Gas per	Molded Auto	(=b/2205		MTCE/Ton of
	1,000 Lbs of	Parts	metric tons	MTCE/Metric	Asphalt
Gas	Asphalt	$(=a \times 2)$	per pound)	Ton of Gas	(=c x d)
CO_2	2	4	0.0018	0.27	0.0004

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

Step 2. Calculate the emissions for recycled production of one ton of the secondary product. After estimating emissions from virgin production of secondary products, we then conducted a similar analysis for recycled production. Exhibits 29, 30, and 31, show the results for process energy emissions, transportation emissions, and process non-energy emissions for molded auto parts, respectively.

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix D.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

Exhibit 29. Asphalt Process Energy Emissions Calculations for Recycled Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
			Fuel-specific		Process	Process	Total Process
		Million Btu	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		used for	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of	Asphalt	(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	$(=5.49 \times a)$	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	$(=\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{f})$
Gasoline	0.1968	0.0108	0.0192	0.0001	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002
LPG	0.0991	0.0054	0.0169	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Distillate Fuel	0.8490	0.0466	0.0199	0.0001	0.0009	0.0000	0.0009
Residual Fuel	1.5231	0.0836	0.0214	0.0001	0.0018	0.0000	0.0018
Diesel	0.0000	0.0000	0.0199	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
ational Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	87.0890	4.7812	0.0158	0.0006	0.0755	0.0028	0.0783
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-							
Coking Coal)	0.9110	0.0500	0.0251	0.0009	0.0013	0.0000	0.0013
Natural Gas	8.8911	0.4881	0.0138	0.0007	0.0067	0.0003	0.0071
Nuclear	0.3389	0.0186	0.0008	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	100	5.49	n/a	n/a	0.09	0.0003	0.009

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix D.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

Exhibit 30. Asphalt Transportation Energy Emissions Calculations for Recycled Materials

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
		Million Btu	Fuel-specific		Transport	Transport	Total Transport
		used for	Carbon	Fugitive CH ₄	Energy CO ₂	Energy CH ₄	Energy
		Asphalt	Coefficient	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions	Emissions
	Percent of	Transport	(MTCE/	MTCE/Million	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton)
Fuel Type	Total Btu ^a	$(=0.98 \times a)$	Million Btu) ^b	Btu ^b	(=b x c)	(=b x d)	(=e+f)
Gasoline	0.1021	0.0010	0.0192	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
LPG	0.0776	0.0008	0.0169	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Distillate Fuel	0.3881	0.0038	0.0199	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Residual Fuel	14.7062	0.1441	0.0214	0.0001	0.0031	0.0000	0.0031
Diesel	76.2107	0.7469	0.0199	0.0001	0.0148	0.0001	0.0149
National Average							
Fuel Mix for							
Electricity	0.6945	0.0068	0.0158	0.0006	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
Coal Used by							
Industry (Non-	0.0550	0.0004	0.0251	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0002
Coking Coal)	0.8579	0.0084	0.0251	0.0009	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002
Natural Gas	6.5361	0.0641	0.0138	0.0007	0.0009	0.0000	0.0009
Nuclear	0.3268	0.0032	0.0008	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	100%	0.98	n/a	n/a	0.02	0.001	0.02

Exhibit 31. Asphalt Process Non-energy Emissions for Recycled Materials

	(a) Lbs of Gas per	(b) Lbs of Gas per Ton of Asphalt	(c) Metric Tons of Gas per Ton of Asphalt (=b / 2205 Metric Tons	(d) MTCE/Metric	(e) MTCE/Ton Asphalt
Gas	1,000 Lbs of Asphalt	Asphalt (=a x 2)	Metric Tons per Pound)	MTCE/Metric Ton of Gas	Asphalt (=c x d)
CO_2	2.42	4.84	0.0022	0.27	0.0006

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

<u>Step 3. Calculate the difference in emissions between virgin and recycled production.</u> The GHG savings associated with recycling were then calculated by subtracting the recycled emissions estimate from the virgin emissions estimate using the results from steps 1 and 2. The results are shown in Exhibit 32. ¹³

a. Calculated using fuel-specific Btu data provided in Appendix D.

b. Source: U. S. Department of Energy, EIA. *Annual Energy Review: 2000*. August 2001. The electricity emission factor was calculated from a weighted average of fuels used in energy production in the United States.

¹³ In the case of asphalt, production from virgin materials is actually less GHG-intensive than production from recycled materials.

Exhibit 32. Difference in Emissions Between Virgin and Recycled Asphalt Manufacture (MTCE/Ton)

	Process Energy Emissions	Transportation Energy Emissions	Process Non- energy Emissions
Virgin Manufacture	0.003	0.01	0
Recycled Manufacture	0.03	0.01	0
Difference	-0.027	0	0

Step 4. Adjust the emissions differences to account for recycling losses. In the case of PCs, data indicated an 18 percent recovery-stage loss rate for PCs (i.e., 82 percent of recovered PCs for recycling were actually sent to a recycler; the remainder were landfilled). For the manufacturing stage, data indicated a 35 percent loss rate for asphalt; a .5 percent loss rate for lead bullion; and a 1 percent loss rate for copper wire. Zero manufacturing-stage losses were reported for the other secondary products.

Since losses occur in both the recovery and manufacturing stage for asphalt, the net "retention" rate was calculated as the product of the recovery and manufacturing retention rates, as shown below:

Net Retention Rate for Asphalt = Recovery Stage Retention Rate x Manufacturing Stage Retention Rate

$$= (100\% - 18\%) \times (100\% - 35\%) = 54\%$$

Exhibit 33 shows the calculation for adjusting the emissions differences from step 3 for asphalt to account for recycling losses.

Exhibit 33. Calculation of Adjusted GHG Savings for Personal Computers Recycled into Asphalt

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
	Virgin	Recycled	Unadjusted GHG Savings		Adjusted GHG
	Production (MTCE/Ton)	Production (MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton) (=a - b)	Recycling Retention Rate	Savings (MTCE/Ton)
Process Energy	0.008	0.09	0.082	0.54	0.04
Transportation					
Energy	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.54	0.005
Process Non-					
energy	0	0	0	0.54	0

Step 5. Weight the results by the percentage of recycled PCs that the secondary material comprises. Using the percentages provided in Exhibit 24, the individual GHG differences for each of the secondary products were weighted by their relative shares of recycled PCs. In the case of asphalt, the MTCE/Ton estimates from step 4 were weighted by the percentage of recycled PCs converted to asphalt (38 percent), as shown below:

Process Energy: $0.04 \text{ MTCE/ton}_{unweighted}$ x 38 % = 0.02 MTCE/tonTransportation Energy: $0.005 \text{ MTCE/ton}_{unweighted}$ x 38 % = 0.002 MTCE/ton

Process Non-energy: $0 \text{ MTCE/ton}_{unweighted}$ x 38 % = 0 MTCE/ton

The weighted results for all three secondary materials are shown in Exhibit 34.

Exhibit 34. Personal Computer Recycling Emission Factors (MTCE/Ton)

Product	(a) Avoided Process Energy	(b) Avoided Transportation Energy	(c) Avoided Process Non-Energy	(d) Net Emissions Reduction (=a + b + c)
Asphalt	0.02	0.002	0	0.02
Steel Sheet	0.01	0	0.09	0.1
Lead Bullion	0	0.01	0	0.01
CRT Glass	0	0	0	0
Copper Wire	0.02	0	0	0.02
Aluminum Sheet	0.48	0.02	0.17	0.67
Total	0.49	0.01	0.25	0.76

Note: values may not sum due to rounding. Results for asphalt, derived in Exhibits 26 through 33, are shaded above.

Combustion

Approximately 15 percent of the PCs entering the municipal solid waste stream are combusted. Combustion results in both direct and indirect emissions: direct emissions from the combustion process itself and indirect emissions associated with transportation to the combustor. To the extent that PCs combusted at WTE facilities produce electricity, combustion offsets CO₂ emissions from electric utilities.

According to IPCC guidelines, emissions of CO₂ from biogenic sources are not included in GHG accounting because these emissions are assumed to be part of the natural carbon cycle.¹⁴ However, we estimated that 12 percent of carbon in PCs is non-biogenic and that 98 percent of the non-biogenic carbon is converted to CO₂ during combustion.¹⁵ Direct CO₂ emissions from combustion of PCs were estimated at 0.1 MTCE per ton of carpet as shown in Exhibit 35.

Exhibit 35. Personal Computer Combustion Emissions Factor Calculation

	(a)	(b)	(c) Non-Biomass	(d)	(e)
Components	Percent of Total Weight of Combusted PCs	Percent Non-Biomass Carbon Content	Carbon Content % of Total Weight (=a x b)	Factored by % Carbon to CO ₂ (=c x 0.98)	Conversion to MTCE per ton of PCs (=d / 1.1023)
ABS (acrylonitrile-					
butadiene-styrene)	8	84	7	7	0.06
PPO/HIPS					
(Polyphenylene					
oxide/High Impact					
Polystyrene)	6	85	5	5	0.04
Total			12		0.1

As with other materials covered in EPA's *Solid Waste Management and Greenhouse Gases: A Life Cycle Assessment of Emissions and Sinks*, we estimated CO₂ emissions from the transportation of PCs to the WTE plant, and ash from the WTE plant to the landfill using data provided by FAL. Transportation-related CO₂ emissions were estimated at 0.01 MTCE.

¹⁴ See page 12 of EPA's Solid Waste Management and Greenhouse Gases: A Life Cycle Assessment of Emissions and Sinks.

¹⁵ This calculation was based upon the percent composition of non-biogenic ABS and PPO/HIPS plastic components of PCs.

Because most utility power plants use fossil fuels to produce electricity, the electricity produced at WTE facilities reduces the demand for fossil-derived electricity and the associated CO_2 emissions. Avoided utility CO_2 emissions were calculated based on the energy content of the carpet, the combustion efficiency of the WTE plant including transmission and distribution losses, and the CO_2 emissions avoided by the power plant on a per kwh basis. The estimate of emissions avoided per kwh reflects the national average mix of fuel sources and the resulting CO_2 emissions. As shown in Exhibit 36, carpet combustion was estimated to result in utility offsets of 0.04 MTCE per ton.

Exhibit 36. Utility Emissions Offset From Personal Computer Combustion

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
			Emission Factor for	Avoided Utility CO ₂
			Utility-Generated	Per Ton Combusted
	Energy Content	Mass Burn	Electricity (MTCE/	at Mass Burn
Energy Content	(Million Btu Per Ton)	Combustion System	Million Btu of	Facilities (MTCE)
(Btu Per Pound)	$(=a \times 0.002)$	Efficiency (Percent)	Electricity Delivered)	$(=\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{d})$
1,533	3.1	0.18	0.08	0.04

The combustion of PCs at WTE facilities also includes a steel recovery and recycling process. Approximately 90 percent of combustion facilities have ferrous recovery systems. FAL reports that 1 ton of PCs contains 286 pounds of steel. Since some of this steel is lost during combustion, we included a ferrous recovery factor of 98 percent. The recycling of this recovered steel results in a CO₂ emissions offset of 0.12 MTCE per ton of combusted PCs.

Exhibit 37. Steel Production Emissions Offset From Personal Computer Combustion

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Tons of Steel		Tons of Steel	Avoided CO ₂	Avoided CO ₂
Recovered Per Ton of		Recovered Per Ton of	Emissions Per Ton of	Emissions Per Ton of
Steel Combusted	Percent Steel Content	PCs Combusted	Steel Recovered	PCs Combusted
(Tons)	of PCs	$(Tons) (=a \times b)$	(MTCE/Ton)	(MTCE/Ton) (=c x d)
0.88	29%	0.25	0.49	0.12

As shown in Exhibit 38, the net combustion emission factor for carpet is equal to the sum of the carpet combustion CO_2 emissions, transportation emissions, utility offset, and avoided emissions due to steel recovery, or 0.06 MTCE/Ton.

Exhibit 38. PC Combustion Emission Factor (MTCE/Ton)

Ī	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
					Net Combustion
	Combustion	Transportation	Avoided Utility	Avoided Emissions	Emissions
	Emissions	Energy	Emissions	due to Steel Recovery	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{d})$
ſ	0.10	0.01	0.04	0.12	-0.06

Landfilling

Roughly 77 percent of PCs entering the municipal solid waste stream are landfilled. Typically, the emission factor for landfilling is comprised of four parts: landfill CH_4 , CO_2 emissions from transportation and landfill equipment, landfill carbon storage, and avoided utility emissions. However, as with other inorganic

¹⁶ Integrated Waste Services Association, The 2000 IWSA Waste-to-Energy Directory on United States Facilities. 2000.

materials for which EPA has developed emission factors, there are zero landfill CH₄ emissions, landfill carbon storage, or avoided utility emissions associated with landfilling carpet. As a result, the emission factor for landfilling represents the CO₂ emissions associated with collecting the waste and operating the landfill equipment. These emissions were estimated at 0.01 MTCE per ton of carpet landfilled. 17

III. SUMMARY

The emission factors in this report are designed to help waste managers and others determine the GHG impacts of alternative waste management options for carpet and PCs. These factors are additions to the current factors as described in the report, Solid Waste Management and Greenhouse Gases: A Life-Cycle Assessment of Emissions and Sinks, available online at http://www.epa.gov/mswclimate/greengas.pdf,. Readers are encouraged to consult this report for more background on how life-cycle analysis is used to develop emission factors.

In addition, these factors are now part of EPA's WARM model, which provides a user-friendly way of assessing the GHG impacts of alternative waste management practice. Users simply need to enter tonnage data for the baseline and alternative waste management options, and WARM will provide the emissions results. WARM also allows users to specify some of the assumptions driving the emission factors, such as the miles of travel required to transport discarded carpet or PCs to the landfill. WARM is available online at http://www.epa.gov/global warming/actions/waste/w-online.htm.

To apply these emission factors one needs to compare the GHG results using a baseline and alternative waste management scenario. For each scenario, the GHG impact is calculated by multiplying the tonnage of carpet and/or PCs by the appropriate emission factor. For example, suppose a company is considering recycling its old carpet instead of its current (baseline) practice of landfilling the carpet. If the company generated 20 tons of carpet, the GHG benefits of recycling versus landfilling could be calculated as follows:

$$[20 \ tons \ x \ \ \text{-}1.99 \ MTCE/Ton_{recycling} \] - \ [20 \ tons \ x \ 0.01 \ MTCE/Ton_{landfilling}] = 40 \ MTCE/Ton_{recycling} \] - \ [20 \ tons \ x \ 0.01 \ MTCE/Ton_{landfilling}] = 40 \ MTCE/Ton_{landfilling}] = 40 \ MTCE/Ton_{landfilling} \] - \ [20 \ tons \ x \ 0.01 \ MTCE/Ton_{landfilling}] = 40 \ MTCE/Ton_{landfilling}] = 40 \ MTCE/Ton_{landfilling}$$

As the above equation shows, this one company could save 40 MTCE by recycling instead of landfilling, equivalent to removing approximately 30 cars from the road for a year.

When applying the emission factors at the national level, we can see the tremendous potential for GHG emission reductions. Exhibits 39 and 40 show the current estimated carpet and PC life-cycle GHG emissions assuming the current waste disposal scenario. In addition, the exhibits show the potential reductions if all of the waste was recycled, or if 20 percent was source reduced. As the exhibits show, if all carpet was recycled, more than 5 million MTCE would be avoided, equivalent to removing over 3.5 million cars from the road for a year. If 20 percent of PCs were source reduced, nearly 3 million MTCE would be avoided, equivalent to removing over 2 million cars from the road for a year.

Finally, we close by noting that although this analysis is based upon the best available life-cycle data, uncertainties do exist in the final emission factors. In particular, the complexities arising from the fact that both PCs and carpet are composite products, and not individual materials, require that we continue to assess the assumptions and data used to develop the emission factors. As the composition, manufacturing processes, and recycling processes change in the future, these changes will be incorporated into revised factors.

¹⁷ Landfill data obtained from Franklin Associates, Ltd., The Role of Recycling in Integrated Solid Waste Management to the Year 2000 (Stamford, CT: Keep America Beautiful, Inc.) September 1994, p. I-5.

Exhibit 39. Current Baseline GHG Emissions and Reduction Potential for Carpet

Current Baseline		100% Recycling		20% Source Reduction						
	(a) EF	(b) End of	(c) End of	(d) Net GHG Emissions	(e) End of	(f)	(g) Net GHG Emissions	(h) End of	(i) End of	(j) Net GHG Emissions
Disposal Option		Life Fate (%)				End of Life Fate (Tons)	(MTCE)	Life Fate (%) ²	Life Fate (Tons)	(MTCE) (=a x i)
Source Reduction	-1.11	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	514,000	-570,540
Recycling	-1.99	3.6	92,520	-184,115	100	2,570,000	-5,114,300	2.88	74,016	-147,292
Combustion	0.09	19	488,300	43,947	0	0	0	15.2	390,640	35,158
Landfilling	0.01	77	1,978,900	19,789	0	0	0	61.6	1,583,120	15,831
Total				-120,379			-5,114,300			-666,843

This is based on an estimate of 2,570,000 tons of carpets and rugs generated in 2000, as reported in EPA's *Municipal Solid Waste in the United States:* 2000 Facts and Figures (2002). This number is then multiplied by the percentages in column b to estimate tons recycled, combusted, and landfilled.

Exhibit 40. Current Baseline GHG Emissions and Reduction Potential for Personal Computers

		Current Baseline		1	100% Recycling		20% Source Reduction			
Disposal	(a) EF (MTCE	(b) End of Life Fate	(c) End of	(d) Net GHG Emissions		(f) End of Life	(g) Net GHG Emissions (MTCE)	(h) End of	(i) End of Life Fate	(j) Net GHG Emissions
Option	/Ton)	(%)	Life Fate (Tons) ¹	(MTCE) (=a x c)	(%)	Fate (Tons)	` ′	Life Fate (%) ²	(Tons)	(MTCE) (=a x i)
Source										
Reduction	-15.51	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	183,380	-2,844,224
Recycling	-0.76	6	55,014	-41,811	100	916,900	-696,844	5	44,011	-33,449
Combustion	-0.06	15	137,535	-8,252	0	0	0	12	110,028	-6,602
Landfilling	0.01	77	706,013	7,060	0	0	0	62	564,810	5,648
Total				-43,003			-696,844			-2,878,626

¹This is based upon a municipal solid waste stream content of 916,900 tons of information based consumer electronics as reported in EPA's *Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 2000 Facts and Figures* (2002).

² 20% is assumed to be source reduced. The remainder was distributed across the other waste management options using ratios from the current baseline (see column b).

² 20% is assumed to be source reduced. The remainder was distributed across the other waste management options using ratios from the current baseline (see column b).

Appendix A. Data Used to Derive Carpet Source Reduction Emission Factor

Exhibit A-1: Pr	ocess Energy Data	for the Production	n of 1,000 lbs of 1	Residential
Broadloom Car	pet			
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Combustion	Precombustion	Total Process	
	90	Process Energy	Energy per	Total Process
	per 1,000	per 1,000	1,000 Pounds	Energy per Ton
Fuel	Pounas (million Btu)	Pounds (million Btu)	(million Btu) (=a + b)	(million Btu) (=c x 2)
	<i>'</i>	,	, , ,	, ,
Electricity	15.7		15.7	
Natural Gas	11.7	1.6	13.3	26.6
LPG	0.01	0.0011	0.0111	0.0222
Coal	0.027	0.22	0.247	0.494
Distillate Oil	0.042	0.16	0.202	0.404
Residual Oil	0.35	0.097	0.447	0.894
Gasoline	0.049	0.094	0.143	0.286
Nuclear	0	0.084	0.084	0.168
Hydropower	0	0.014	0.014	0.028
Diesel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0.012	0.012	0.024
Total	27.88	2.28	30.16	60.32

Exhibit A-2: Tra	ansportation Energ	v Data for the Pr	oduction of 1.000) lbs of				
Residential Broadloom Carpet								
	(a)	(b)	(c) Total	(d)				
Fuel	Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Precombustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)				
Electricity	0.012	0	0.012	0.024				
Natural Gas	0.058	0.05	0.108	0.216				
LPG	0	0.0005	0.0005	0.001				
Coal	0	0.006	0.006	0.012				
Distillate Oil	0	0.0027	0.0027	0.0054				
Residual Oil	0.1722	0.023	0.1952	0.3904				
Gasoline	0	0.001	0.001	0.002				
Nuclear	0	0.0022	0.0022	0.0044				
Hydropower	0	0.00035	0.00035	0.0007				
Diesel	0.354	0	0.354	0.708				
Other	0	0.00031	0.00031	0.00062				
Total	0.60	0.09	0.68	1.36				

Appendix B. Data Used to Derive Carpet Recycling Emission Factor

(Process non-energy emissions values are located at the end of this section.)

Exhibit B-1: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Carpet Padding Using Virgin Nylon 6,6 Fibers								
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)				
Evol	per 1,000 Pounds (million	Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million	Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu)				
Fuel Electricity	Btu) 31.6	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})$ 31.6	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$				
Electricity								
Natural Gas	17.5		20.08					
LPG	0.0087	0.002	0.0107	0.0214				
Coal	0.1	0.42	0.52	1.04				
Distillate Oil	0.063	0.29	0.353	0.706				
Residual Oil	0.57	0.17	0.74	1.48				
Gasoline	0.068	0.15	0.218	0.436				
Nuclear	0	0.16	0.16	0.32				
Hydropower	0	0.025	0.025	0.05				
Other	0	0.023	0.023	0.046				
Total	49.91	3.82	53.73	107.46				

	Exhibit B-2: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Carpet Padding Using Virgin Nylon 6,6 Fibers								
Fuel	(a) Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(b) Precombustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(c) Total Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	(d) Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)					
Electricity	0.019	0	0.019	` ,					
Natural Gas	0.08	0.073	0.153	0.306					
LPG	0	0.00076	0.00076	0.00152					
Coal	0	0.0089	0.0089	0.0178					
Distillate Oil	0	0.0017	0.0017	0.0034					
Residual Oil	0.2922	0.037	0.3292	0.6584					
Gasoline	0	0.0038	0.0038	0.0076					
Nuclear	0	0.0034	0.0034	0.0068					
Hydropower	0.555	0.00055	0.55555	1.1111					
Other	0	0.00049	0.00049	0.00098					
Total	0.95	0.13	1.08	2.15					

Exhibit B-3: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Carpet Padding								
Using Nylon Fibers from Recycled Carpet								
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)				
	Combustion	Precombustion	Total Process					
		Process Energy	Energy per	Total Process				
	per 1,000	per 1,000	1,000 Pounds	Energy per Ton				
Fuel	Btu)	Pounds (million Btu)	(million Btu) (=a + b)	(million Btu) (=c x 2)				
Electricity	1.02	,	(-a + b)	, , ,				
Natural Gas	0		0.023					
LPG	0		0.00036					
Coal	0	0.0102	0.0102					
Distillate Oil	0	0.0072	0.0073					
Residual Oil	0	0.0020	0.0028					
Gasoline	0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0026				
Nuclear	0	0.0038	0.0038	0.0076				
Hydropower	0	0.00061	0.00061	0.00122				
Diesel	0	0	0	0				
Other	0	0.00055	0.00055	0.0011				
Total	1.02	0.05	1.07	2.14				

Exhibit B-4: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Carpet Padding Using Nylon Fibers from Recycled Carpet							
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)			
			Total				
	Combustion	Precombustion	-	Total			
	Transportation Energy per	Transportation Energy per	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Transportation Energy per Ton			
	1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds	(million Btu)	(million Btu)			
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	(=c x 2)			
Electricity	0	0	0	0			
Natural Gas	0	0.034	0.034	0.068			
LPG	0	0.00041	0.00041	0.00082			
Coal	0	0.0044	0.0044	0.0088			
Distillate Oil	0	0.002	0.002	0.004			
Residual Oil	0	0.019	0.019	0.038			
Gasoline	0	0.00053	0.00053	0.00106			
Nuclear	0	0.0017	0.0017	0.0034			
Hydropower	0	0.00028	0.00028	0.00056			
Diesel	0.46	0	0.46	0.92			
Other	0	0.00025	0.00025	0.0005			
Total	0.46	0.06	0.52	1.05			

	Exhibit B-5: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Injections Molded Auto Parts from Virgin Nylon 6,6 Fibers								
Fuel	per 1,000	(b) Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(c) Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	(d) Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)					
Electricity	34.8	0	34.8	69.6					
Natural Gas	17.5	2.64	20.14	40.28					
LPG	0.0088	0.002	0.0108	0.0216					
Coal	0	0.45	0.45	0.9					
Distillate Oil	0.064	0.32	0.384	0.768					
Residual Oil	0.47	0.17	0.64	1.28					
Gasoline	0.068	0.16	0.228	0.456					
Nuclear	0	0.17	0.17	0.34					
Hydropower	0	0.027	0.027	0.054					
Diesel	0	0	0	0					
Other	0	0.024	0.024	0.048					
Total	52.91	3.96	56.87	113.75					

Exhibit B-6: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of					
Injections Molded Auto Parts from Virgin Nylon 6,6 Fibers					
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
			Total		
	Combustion	Precombustion	-	Total	
	Transportation	-	Energy per	Transportation	
	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Energy per Ton (million Btu)	
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	(=a+b)	$(=c \times 2)$	
Electricity	0.019	0	0.019	0.038	
Natural Gas	0.08	0.051	0.131	0.262	
LPG	0	0.0005	0.0005	0.001	
Coal	0	0.0061	0.0061	0.0122	
Distillate Oil	0	0.0029	0.0029	0.0058	
Residual Oil	0.3022	0.024	0.3262	0.6524	
Gasoline	0	0.0012	0.0012	0.0024	
Nuclear	0	0.0023	0.0023	0.0046	
Hydropower	0	0.00038	0.00038	0.00076	
Diesel	0.266	0	0.266	0.532	
Other	0	0.00034	0.00034	0.00068	
Total	0.67	0.09	0.76	1.51	

Exhibit B-7: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Injections					
Molded Auto Parts from Recycled Carpet					
	(a) Combustion	(b) Precombustion	(c) Total Process	(d)	
	Process Energy per 1,000	Process Energy per 1,000	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Total Process Energy per Ton	
T. 1	· ·	Pounds (million	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$	
Electricity	9.66	0	9.66	19.32	
Natural Gas	0	0.21	0.21	0.42	
LPG	0	0.00034	0.00034	0.00068	
Coal	0	0.096	0.096	0.192	
Distillate Oil	0	0.069	0.069	0.138	
Residual Oil	0	0.026	0.026	0.052	
Gasoline	0	0.012	0.012	0.024	
Nuclear	0	0.036	0.036	0.072	
Hydropower	0	0.0058	0.0058	0.0116	
Diesel	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0.0052	0.0052	0.0104	
Total	9.66	0.46	10.12	20.24	

Exhibit B-8: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of					
Injections Molded Auto Parts from Recycled Carpet					
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
			Total		
	Combustion	Precombustion	_	Total	
	Transportation	-	Energy per	Transportation	
	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Energy per Ton (million Btu)	
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	(=a+b)	$(=c \times 2)$	
Electricity	0	0	0	0	
Natural Gas	0	0.034	0.034	0.068	
LPG	0	0.00041	0.00041	0.00082	
Coal	0	0.0045	0.0045	0.009	
Distillate Oil	0	0.002	0.002	0.004	
Residual Oil	0	0.02	0.02	0.04	
Gasoline	0	0.00053	0.00053	0.00106	
Nuclear	0	0.0017	0.0017	0.0034	
Hydropower	0	0.00028	0.00028	0.00056	
Diesel	0.46	0	0.46	0.92	
Other	0	0.00025	0.00025	0.0005	
Total	0.46	0.06	0.52	1.05	

Exhibit B-9: Pr	Exhibit B-9: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Carpet Backing				
for Carpet Tiles from Virgin Woven Polypropylene					
Fuel	per 1,000	(b) Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(c) Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	(d) Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)	
Electricity	14	,	14	28	
Natural Gas	7.4	1.1	8.5	17	
LPG	0.0041	0.00079	0.00489	0.00978	
Coal	0	0.18	0.18	0.36	
Distillate Oil	0.033	0.13	0.163	0.326	
Residual Oil	0.096	0.07	0.166	0.332	
Gasoline	0.1	0.065	0.165	0.33	
Nuclear	0	0.068	0.068	0.136	
Hydropower	0	0.011	0.011	0.022	
Diesel	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0.0098	0.0098	0.0196	
Total	21.63	1.63	23.27	46.54	

Exhibit B-10: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Carpet				
Backing for Carpet Tiles from Virgin Woven Polypropylene				
	(a)	(b)	(c) Total	(d)
	Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Precombustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu)
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$
Electricity	0.0086	0	0.0086	0.0172
Natural Gas	0.13	0.047	0.177	0.354
LPG	0	0.00041	0.00041	0.00082
Coal	0	0.0052	0.0052	0.0104
Distillate Oil	0	0.0026	0.0026	0.0052
Residual Oil	0.1413	0.02	0.1613	0.3226
Gasoline	0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0026
Nuclear	0	0.002	0.002	0.004
Hydropower	0	0.00032	0.00032	0.00064
Diesel	0.32	0	0.32	0.64
Other	0	0.00029	0.00029	0.00058
Total	0.60	0.08	0.68	1.36

Exhibit B-11: Pi Recycled Carpe	rocess Energy Data t	for the Production	on of 1,000 lbs of	Carpet Backing
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Evol	per 1,000 Pounds (million	Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million	Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu)
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	(=c x 2)
Electricity	11.1		11.1	22.2
Natural Gas	0	0.25	0.25	0.5
LPG	0	0.00039	0.00039	0.00078
Coal	0	0.11	0.11	0.22
Distillate Oil	0	0.079	0.079	0.158
Residual Oil	0	0.03	0.03	0.06
Gasoline	0	0.014	0.014	0.028
Nuclear	0	0.041	0.041	0.082
Hydropower	0	0.0067	0.0067	0.0134
Diesel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0.0059	0.0059	0.0118
Total	11.10	0.54	11.64	23.27

	(a)	(b)	(c) Total	(d)
Fuel	Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Precombustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)
Electricity	0	0	0	0
Natural Gas	0	0.034	0.034	0.068
LPG	0	0.00041	0.00041	0.00082
Coal	0	0.0044	0.0044	0.0088
Distillate Oil	0	0.002	0.002	0.004
Residual Oil	0	0.019	0.019	0.038
Gasoline	0	0.00053	0.00053	0.00106
Nuclear	0	0.0017	0.0017	0.0034
Hydropower	0	0.00028	0.00028	0.00056
Diesel	0.46	0.00025	0.46025	0.9205
Other	0	0.00029	0.00029	0.00058
Total	0.46	0.06	0.52	1.05

Exhibit B-13. Carpet Secondary Emissions	y Product Proces	s Non-energ	gy
Emissions	Lbs of gas	per 1,000 lbs	s of product
	CO_2	$\mathrm{CH_4}$	N_2O
Virgin			
Carpet Padding	17	3.76	6.42
Injection Molded Auto Parts	17	3.01	6.45
Carpet Backing	0	5.92	0
Recycled			
Carpet Padding	0	0	0
Injection Molded Auto Parts	0	0	0
Carpet Backing	0	0	0

Appendix C. Data Used to Derive Personal Computer Source Reduction Emission Factor

Exhibit C-1: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Desktop PCs								
Fuel	per 1,000	(b) Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(c) Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	(d) Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)	(e) Adjusted Btu Based on Glass Revision ²	(f) Adjusted Btu Based on Miscellaneous Revision ³		
Electricity	436	,	436	, ,	872			
Natural Gas	11.7	11	22.7		45.5			
LPG	0.0022		0.0182		0.0364			
Metallurgical coke ¹	2.18	0	0	0	0			
Petroleum coke ¹	0.66	0	0	0	0	0		
Coal	0.15	4.42	4.57	9.14	9.14	9.14		
Distillate Oil	0.23	3.15	3.38	6.76	6.77	6.82		
Residual Oil	0.47	1.27	1.74	3.48	3.49	3.57		
Gasoline	0.017	0.62	0.637	1.274	1.275	1.29		
Nuclear	0	1.65	1.65	3.3	3.3	3.3		
Hydropower	0	0.27	0.27	0.54	0.54	0.54		
Diesel	0.46	0	0.46	0.92	0.92	0.98		
Other	0	0.24	0.24	0.48	0.48	0.48		
Total	451.87	22.64	471.67	943.33	943.39	945.13		

¹ Since FAL data under "process non-energy" include coke-related emissions, these data were excluded from the process energy calculations (and consequently do not appear in column f of this table).

² This adjustment is based on revised FAL estimates of the glass content in PCs. After publishing its PC report, FAL revised the glass content in PCs from 231.5 lbs to 220 lbs per 1,000 lbs of PCs. Based upon this differential we then calculated a new process energy value for glass of 2.01 Btu, an increase of 0.059 from the original value. Next, we distributed this differential by fuel type using the fuel mix for glass, and then added the differential for each fuel type to the total PC Btu estimates. The results are revised PC fuel specific energy values based upon the incremental increase of glass process energy.

³ This adjustment is based on revised FAL estimates of materials content in 1,000 lbs of PCs. The total amount of PC manufacturing materials was increased by 32 lbs to account for "miscellaneous materials" that were originally omitted from FAL's report. Because the vast majority of process energy for computer production is from wafer manufacturing, we separated out the process energy for non-wafer materials and increased the Btu values for these materials to account for the missing 32 lbs of miscellaneous materials. The assumption is that the fuel mix for the miscellaneous material content would most closely resemble the fuel mix for non-wafer materials in PCs. The result was an increase in process energy for non-wafer materials from 52.6 to 53.339 Btu.

Exhibit C-2: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Desktop Personal Computers							
	(a)	(b)	(c) Total	(d)	(e)	(f)	
Fuel	Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Precombustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)	Adjusted Btu Based on Glass Revision ²	Revision ³	
Electricity	0.007	0	0.007	0.014	0.014	0.014	
Natural Gas	0.011	0.16	0.171	0.342	0.342	0.353	
LPG	0	0.0019	0.0019	0.0038	0.0038	0.0039	
Metallurgical coke ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Petroleum coke ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Coal	0	0.021	0.021	0.042	0.042	0.043	
Distillate Oil	0	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	
Residual Oil	1.375	0.091	1.466	2.932	2.933	3.029	
Gasoline	0	0.0025	0.0025	0.005	0.005	0.005	
Nuclear	0	0.008	0.008	0.016	0.016	0.017	
Hydropower	0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0026	0.0026	0.0027	
Diesel	0.745	0.0011	0.7461	1.4922	1.4922	1.5414	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	2.14	0.30	2.43	4.87	4.87	5.03	

¹These are included only in the Process non-energy emissions to avoid double counting.

² This adjustment is based on revised Franklin Associates Ltd. glass content amounts for 1,000 lbs of PCs. The glass content was revised up from 213.5 to 220 lbs. Based upon this differential we then calculated a new transportation energy value for glass of 4.87. Next, we distributed this differential by fuel type using the fuel mix for glass, and then added the differential for each fuel type to the total PC Btu estimates. The results are revised PC fuel specific energy values based upon the incremental increase of glass process energy.

³ This adjustment is based on revised FAL materials content amounts for 1,000 lbs of PCs. The total amount of PC manufacturing materials was increased by 32 lbs to account for "miscellaneous materials" that were originally omitted from FAL's report. The transportation energy was increased from 4.87 to 5.03 Btu based upon a proportional increase in mass. We used the same fuel mix for transportation energy that had previously been reported for PCs.

Appendix D. Data Used to Derive PC Recycling Emission Factor

(Process non-energy emissions values are located at the end.)

Exhibit D-1: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Cold Patch Asphalt Using Virgin Aggregates					
	(a) Combustion	(b) Precombustion	(c) Total Process	(d)	
T. 1		per 1,000 Pounds (million	Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu)	
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$	
Electricity	0.055		0.055		
Natural Gas	0.13	0.017	0.147		
LPG	0.0018	0.000038	0.001838	0.003676	
Coal	0.001	0.0016	0.0026	0.0052	
Distillate Oil	0.011	0.001	0.012	0.024	
Residual Oil	0.024	0.0022	0.0262	0.0524	
Gasoline	0.0018	0.0009	0.0027	0.0054	
Nuclear	0	0.00061	0.00061	0.00122	
Hydropower	0	0.000099	0.000099	0.000198	
Diesel	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0.000088	0.000088	0.000176	
Total	0.22	0.02	0.25	0.50	

Exhibit D-2: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Cold Patch Asphalt Using Virgin Aggregates					
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
			Total		
	Combustion		Transportation	Total	
	Transportation Energy per	Transportation Energy per	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Transportation Energy per Ton	
	1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=c \times 2)$	
Electricity	0.0024	0	0.0024	0.0048	
Natural Gas	0	0.0067	0.0067	0.0134	
LPG	0	0.000079	0.000079	0.000158	
Coal	0	0.00088	0.00088	0.00176	
Distillate Oil	0	0.00041	0.00041	0.00082	
Residual Oil	0.0392	0.0038	0.043	0.086	
Gasoline	0	0.00011	0.00011	0.00022	
Nuclear	0	0.00034	0.00034	0.00068	
Hydropower	0	0.000055	0.000055	0.00011	
Diesel	0.0484	0	0.0484	0.0968	
Other	0	0.000049	0.000049	0.000098	
Total	0.09	0.01	0.10	0.20	

Exhibit D-3: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Asphalt Using						
Recycled Plastic Casings from Computers						
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
	Combustion	Precombustion	Total Process			
		Process Energy	Energy per	Total Process		
	per 1,000	per 1,000	1,000 Pounds	Energy per Ton		
Eal	•	Pounds (million	(million Btu)	(million Btu)		
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$		
Electricity	2.39	0	2.39	4.78		
Natural Gas	0.17	0.074	0.244	0.488		
LPG	0.0026	0.00012	0.00272	0.00544		
Coal	0	0.025	0.025	0.05		
Distillate Oil	0.0053	0.018	0.0233	0.0466		
Residual Oil	0.033	0.0088	0.0418	0.0836		
Gasoline	0.0013	0.0041	0.0054	0.0108		
Nuclear	0	0.0093	0.0093	0.0186		
Hydropower	0	0.0015	0.0015	0.003		
Diesel	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0026		
Total	2.60	0.14	2.74	5.49		

Exhibit D-4: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Asphalt Using Recycled Plastic Casings from Computers					
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
Fuel	Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)		Total Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)	
Electricity	0.0034	0	0.0034	0.0068	
Natural Gas	0	0.032	0.032	0.064	
LPG	0	0.00038	0.00038	0.00076	
Coal	0	0.0042	0.0042	0.0084	
Distillate Oil	0	0.0019	0.0019	0.0038	
Residual Oil	0.054	0.018	0.072	0.144	
Gasoline	0	0.0005	0.0005	0.001	
Nuclear	0	0.0016	0.0016	0.0032	
Hydropower	0	0.00026	0.00026	0.00052	
Diesel	0.37312	0	0.37312	0.74624	
Other	0	0.00023	0.00023	0.00046	
Total	0.43	0.06	0.49	0.98	

Exhibit D-5: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Steel Sheet Using the Basic Oxygen Furnace						
Fuel	per 1,000	(b) Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(c) Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	(d) Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)		
Electricity	3.66	0	3.66	7.32		
Natural Gas	2.27	0.35	2.62	5.24		
LPG	0.000011	0.0006	0.000611	0.001222		
Coke	6.61	0	6.61	13.22		
Coal	0.046	0.054	6.664	13.328		
Distillate Oil	0.45	0.037	0.487	0.974		
Residual Oil	0.01	0.037	0.047	0.094		
Gasoline	0.018	0.02	0.038	0.076		
Nuclear	0	0.02	0.02	0.04		
Hydropower	0	0.003	0.003	0.006		
Diesel	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0.003	0.003	0.006		
Total	13.06	0.52	20.15	40.31		

Exhibit D-6: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Steel Sheet Using the Basic Oxygen Furnace					
	(a)	(b)	(c) Total	(d)	
Fuel	Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Precombustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)	
Electricity	0.0002	0	0.0002	0.0004	
Natural Gas	0	0.039	0.039	0.078	
LPG	0	0.00046	0.00046	0.00092	
Coke	0	0	0	0	
Coal	0	0.005	0.005	0.01	
Distillate Oil	0	0.0023	0.0023	0.0046	
Residual Oil	0.046	0.022	0.068	0.136	
Gasoline	0	0.0006	0.0006	0.0012	
Nuclear	0	0.0019	0.0019	0.0038	
Hydropower	0	0.00031	0.00031	0.00062	
Diesel	0.47	0	0.47	0.94	
Other	0	0.00028	0.00028	0.00056	
Total	0.52	0.07	0.59	1.18	

Exhibit D-7: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Steel Sheet Using Recycled Steel from Computers					
Fuel	(a) Combustion Process Energy per 1,000	(b) Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(c) Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	(d) Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)	
Electricity	4.55	,	4.55	, ,	
Natural Gas	1.29	0.24	1.53		
LPG	0	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004	
Coal	0.035	0.053	0.088	0.176	
Distillate Oil	0.0032	0.037	0.0402	0.0804	
Residual Oil	0.0019	0.017	0.0189	0.0378	
Gasoline	0.00011	0.014	0.01411	0.02822	
Nuclear	0	0.02	0.02	0.04	
Hydropower	0	0.0032	0.0032	0.0064	
Diesel	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0.0028	0.0028	0.0056	
Total	5.88	0.39	6.27	12.53	

Exhibit D-8: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Steel Sheet Using Recycled Steel from Computers				
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
			Total	
	Combustion	Precombustion	Transportation	Total
	Transportation Energy per	Transportation Energy per	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Transportation Energy per Ton
	1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds	(million Btu)	(million Btu)
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	(=a+b)	(=c x 2)
Electricity	0	0	0	0
Natural Gas	0	0.022	0.022	0.044
LPG	0	0.00026	0.00026	0.00052
Coal	0	0.0029	0.0029	0.0058
Distillate Oil	0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0026
Residual Oil	0.0002	0.013	0.0132	0.0264
Gasoline	0	0.0003	0.0003	0.0006
Nuclear	0	0.0011	0.0011	0.0022
Hydropower	0	0.00018	0.00018	0.00036
Diesel	0.2914	0	0.2914	0.5828
Other	0	0.00016	0.00016	0.00032
Total	0.29	0.04	0.33	0.67

Exhibit D-9: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Lead Bullion from Mined Lead Ore				
	(a) Combustion	(b) Precombustion Process Energy	(c) Total Process Energy per	(d) Total Process
	per 1,000 Pounds (million	per 1,000 Pounds (million	1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Energy per Ton (million Btu)
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$
Electricity	8.38	0	8.38	16.76
Natural Gas	0.77	0.27	1.04	2.08
LPG	0	0.0004	0.0004	0.0008
Coke	1.78	0	1.78	3.56
Coal	0.0007	0.088	1.868	3.736
Distillate Oil	0.01	0.062	0.072	0.144
Residual Oil	0.062	0.028	0.09	0.18
Gasoline	0.0006	0.015	0.0156	0.0312
Nuclear	0	0.033	0.033	0.066
Hydropower	0	0.0053	0.0053	0.0106
Diesel	0.0017	0	0.0017	0.0034
Other	0	0.0047	0.0047	0.0094
Total	11.01	0.51	13.29	26.58

Exhibit D-10: Tr	Exhibit D-10: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Lead					
Bullion from Mi						
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
			Total			
	Combustion	Precombustion	Transportation	Total		
	Transportation Energy per	Transportation Energy per	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Transportation Energy per Ton		
	1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds	(million Btu)	(million Btu)		
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$		
Electricity	0.000044	0	0.000044	0.000088		
Natural Gas	0	0.021	0.021	0.042		
LPG	0	0.00025	0.00025	0.0005		
Coke	0	0	0	0		
Coal	0	0.0027	0.0027	0.0054		
Distillate Oil	0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0026		
Residual Oil	0.0041	0.012	0.0161	0.0322		
Gasoline	0	0.00033	0.00033	0.00066		
Nuclear	0	0.001	0.001	0.002		
Hydropower	0	0.00017	0.00017	0.00034		
Diesel	0.2724	0	0.2724	0.5448		
Other	0	0.00015	0.00015	0.0003		
Total	0.276544	0.0389	0.315444	0.630888		

Exhibit D-11: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Lead Bullion				
Using Recycled I	Lead from CRT G	lass		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	per 1,000	Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million	Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu)
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$
Electricity	8.45	0	8.45	16.9
Natural Gas	0.72	0.27	0.99	1.98
LPG	0	0.0004	0.0004	0.0008
Coke	1.76	0	1.76	3.52
Coal	0.0007	0.089	1.849	3.698
Distillate Oil	0.0055	0.063	0.0685	0.137
Residual Oil	0.06	0.028	0.088	0.176
Gasoline	0.0041	0.015	0.0191	0.0382
Nuclear	0	0.033	0.033	0.066
Hydropower	0	0.0054	0.0054	0.0108
Diesel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0.0047	0.0047	0.0094
Total	11.00	0.51	13.27	26.54

	Exhibit D-12: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Lead Bullion Using Recycled Lead from CRT Glass					
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
	G 1 4	D 1 4	Total	70.41		
	Combustion Transportation	Precombustion Transportation	Transportation Energy per	Total Transportation		
	Energy per	Energy per	1,000 Pounds	Energy per Ton		
Fuel	1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(million Btu) (=a + b)	(million Btu) (=c x 2)		
Electricity	0.000043	0	0.000043	0.000086		
Natural Gas	0	0.13	0.13	0.26		
LPG	0	0.0016	0.0016	0.0032		
	0	0	0	0		
Coal	0	0.017	0.017	0.034		
Distillate Oil	0	0.0079	0.0079	0.0158		
Residual Oil	0.00031	0.076	0.07631	0.15262		
Gasoline	0	0.002	0.002	0.004		
Nuclear	0	0.0066	0.0066	0.0132		
Hydropower	0	0.0011	0.0011	0.0022		
Diesel	1.76273	0	1.76273	3.52546		
Other	0	0.00095	0.00095	0.0019		
Total	1.76	0.24	2.01	4.01		

Exhibit D-13: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of CRT Glass from Raw Materials				
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Fuel	per 1,000	Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)
Electricity	0.9	0	0.9	1.8
Natural Gas	2.58	0.33	2.91	5.82
LPG	0	0.00058	0.00058	0.00116
Coal	0.074	0.029	0.103	0.206
Distillate Oil	0.3	0.02	0.32	0.64
Residual Oil	0.28	0.035	0.315	0.63
Gasoline	0.0015	0.017	0.0185	0.037
Nuclear	0	0.011	0.011	0.022
Hydropower	0	0.0018	0.0018	0.0036
Diesel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0.0016	0.0016	0.0032
Total	4.14	0.45	4.58	9.16

Exhibit D-14: Tr	ansportation Ener	gy Data for the F	Exhibit D-14: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of CRT					
Glass from Raw	-	9 , –						
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)				
	Combustion	Precombustion	Total Transportation	Total				
	Transportation	Transportation	Energy per	Transportation				
	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Energy per Ton (million Btu)				
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=c \times 2)$				
Electricity	0	0	0	0				
Natural Gas	0	0.0091	0.0091	0.0182				
LPG	0	0.00011	0.00011	0.00022				
Coal	0	0.0012	0.0012	0.0024				
Distillate Oil	0	0.00016	0.00016	0.00032				
Residual Oil	0.00025	0.0052	0.00545	0.0109				
Gasoline	0	0.00049	0.00049	0.00098				
Nuclear	0	0.00045	0.00045	0.0009				
Hydropower	0	0.000073	0.000073	0.000146				
Diesel	0.12457	0	0.12457	0.24914				
Other	0	0.000065	0.000065	0.00013				
Total	0.12	0.02	0.14	0.28				

Exhibit D-15: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of CRT Glass from Raw Materials				
Fuel	per 1,000	(b) Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	(c) Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	(d) Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)
Electricity	1.08	,	1.08	, ,
Natural Gas	1.8	0.24	2.04	4.08
LPG	0	0.00045	0.00045	0.0009
Coal	0	0.025	0.025	0.05
Distillate Oil	0.22	0.016	0.236	0.472
Residual Oil	0.21	0.027	0.237	0.474
Gasoline	0	0.013	0.013	0.026
Nuclear	0	0.0093	0.0093	0.0186
Hydropower	0	0.0015	0.0015	0.003
Diesel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0.0013	0.0013	0.0026
Total	3.31	0.33	3.64	7.29

Exhibit D-16: T	Exhibit D-16: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of CRT				
Glass from Ray	_		,		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
			Total		
	Combustion	Precombustion	Transportation	Total	
	Transportation	•	Energy per	Transportation	
	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Energy per Ton (million Btu)	
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	(=a+b)	$(=c \times 2)$	
Electricity	0	0	0	0	
Natural Gas	0	0.088	0.088	0.176	
LPG	0	0.001	0.001	0.002	
Coal	0	0.011	0.011	0.022	
Distillate Oil	0	0.0052	0.0052	0.0104	
Residual Oil	0	0.05	0.05	0.1	
Gasoline	0	0.0014	0.0014	0.0028	
Nuclear	0	0.0044	0.0044	0.0088	
Hydropower	0	0.00071	0.00071	0.00142	
Diesel	2.4767	0	2.4767	4.9534	
Other	0	0.00063	0.00063	0.00126	
Total	2.48	0.16	2.64	5.28	

Exhibit D-17: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of CRT Glass from Raw Materials				
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Fuel	per 1,000	Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)
Electricity	30.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30.6	, ,
Natural Gas	15	3	18	36
LPG	0.000021	0.01	0.010021	0.020042
Coal	0.89	0.49	1.38	2.76
Distillate Oil	0.14	0.33	0.47	0.94
Residual Oil	3.2	0.56	3.76	7.52
Gasoline	0.025	0.15	0.175	0.35
Nuclear	0	0.18	0.18	0.36
Hydropower	0	0.03	0.03	0.06
Diesel	6.63	0	6.63	13.26
Other	0	0.026	0.026	0.052
Total	56.49	4.78	61.26	122.52

	(a)	(b)	(c) Total	(d)
Fuel	Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Precombustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu) (=a + b)	Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)
Electricity	0.000045	0	0.000045	0.00009
Natural Gas	0.000077	0.016	0.016077	0.032154
LPG	0	0.00019	0.00019	0.00038
Coal	0	0.002	0.002	0.004
Distillate Oil	0	0.0009	0.0009	0.0018
Residual Oil	0.000747	0.0089	0.009647	0.019294
Gasoline	0	0.0002	0.0002	0.0004
Nuclear	0	0.0008	0.0008	0.0016
Hydropower	0	0.00013	0.00013	0.00026
Diesel	0.202076	0	0.202076	0.404152
Other	0	0.00011	0.00011	0.00022
Total	0.20	0.03	0.23	0.46

Exhibit D-19: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Copper Wire				
Using Recycled (Copper from Com	puters		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	per 1,000	Precombustion Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million	Total Process Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Total Process Energy per Ton (million Btu)
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=c \times 2)$
Electricity	26.6	0	26.6	53.2
Natural Gas	15.9	2.5	18.4	36.8
LPG	0.000021	0.0042	0.004221	0.008442
Coal	0.89	0.39	1.28	2.56
Distillate Oil	0.14	0.27	0.41	0.82
Residual Oil	3.2	0.27	3.47	6.94
Gasoline	0.025	0.14	0.165	0.33
Nuclear	0	0.15	0.15	0.3
Hydropower	0	0.024	0.024	0.048
Diesel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0.021	0.021	0.042
Total	46.76	3.77	50.52	101.05

Exhibit D-20: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Copper					
Wire Using Recycled Copper from Computers					
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
			Total		
	Combustion	Precombustion	Transportation	Total	
	Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu)	
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=c \times 2)$	
Electricity	0.000045	0	0.000045	0.00009	
Natural Gas	0.000077	0.072	0.072077	0.144154	
LPG	0	0.00085	0.00085	0.0017	
Coal	0	0.0093	0.0093	0.0186	
Distillate Oil	0	0.0042	0.0042	0.0084	
Residual Oil	0.000747	0.041	0.041747	0.083494	
Gasoline	0	0.0011	0.0011	0.0022	
Nuclear	0	0.0036	0.0036	0.0072	
Hydropower		0.00058	0.00058	0.00116	
Diesel	0.953076	0	0.953076	1.906152	
Other	0	0.00051	0.00051	0.00102	
Total	0.95	0.13	1.09	2.17	

Exhibit D-21: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Aluminum Sheet from Raw Materials					
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
	Combustion	Precombustion	Total Process		
		Process Energy	Energy per	Total Process	
	per 1,000	per 1,000	1,000 Pounds	Energy per Ton	
.	,	Pounds (million	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$	
Electricity	94.4	0	94.4	188.8	
Natural Gas	7.21	1.93	9.14	18.28	
LPG	0.0083	0.0027	0.011	0.022	
Petroleum Coke*	6.94	0	6.94	13.88	
Metallurgical Coke*	1.52	0	1.52	3.04	
Coal	0.29	0.45	7.39	14.78	
Distillate Oil	0.22	0.41	0.63	1.26	
Residual Oil	1	0.2	1.2	2.4	
Gasoline	0.0046	0.11	0.1146	0.2292	
Nuclear	0	0.17	0.17	0.34	
Hydropower	0	0.027	0.027	0.054	
Diesel	0.21	0	0.21	0.42	
Other	0	0.024	0.024	0.048	
Total	111.80	3.32	121.78	243.55	

	(a)	(b)	(c) Total	(d)
Fuel	Combustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Precombustion Transportation Energy per 1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Transportation Energy per	Total Transportation Energy per Ton (million Btu) (=c x 2)
Electricity	0.012	0	0.012	0.024
Natural Gas	0.000077	0.24	0.240077	0.480154
LPG	0	0.0028	0.0028	0.0056
Petroleum Coke*	0	0	0	(
Metallurgical Coke*	0	0	0	(
Coal	0	0.031	0.031	0.062
Distillate Oil	0	0.014	0.014	0.028
Residual Oil	2.7413	0.13	2.8713	5.7426
Gasoline	0	0.0037	0.0037	0.0074
Nuclear	0	0.012	0.012	0.024
Hydropower	0	0.0019	0.0019	0.0038
Diesel	0.3869	0	0.3869	0.7738
Other	0	0.0017	0.0017	0.0034
Total	3.14	0.44	3.58	7.15

Exhibit D-23: Process Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Aluminum					
Sheet Using Recycled Aluminum from Computers					
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
	Combustion	Precombustion	Total Process		
		Process Energy	Energy per	Total Process	
	per 1,000	per 1,000	1,000 Pounds	Energy per Ton	
T al	•	Pounds (million	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	
Fuel	Btu)	Btu)	$(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$	$(=\mathbf{c} \times 2)$	
Electricity	3.74	0	3.74	7.48	
Natural Gas	3.56	0.48	4.04	8.08	
LPG	0	0.00046	0.00046	0.00092	
Coal	0	0.06	0.06	0.12	
Distillate Oil	0	0.041	0.041	0.082	
Residual Oil	0.32	0.035	0.355	0.71	
Gasoline	0	0.028	0.028	0.056	
Nuclear	0	0.022	0.022	0.044	
Hydropower	0	0.0036	0.0036	0.0072	
Diesel	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0.0032	0.0032	0.0064	
Total	7.62	0.67	8.29	16.59	

Exhibit D-24: Transportation Energy Data for the Production of 1,000 lbs of Aluminum Sheet Using Recycled Aluminum from Computers				
(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)
			Total	
	Combustion	Precombustion	_	Total
	-	Transportation	Energy per	Transportation
	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	Energy per 1,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds (million Btu)	Energy per Ton (million Btu)
Fuel	(million Btu)	(million Btu)	(=a+b)	$(=c \times 2)$
Electricity	0	0	0	0
Natural Gas	0	0.033	0.033	0.066
LPG	0	0.00039	0.00039	0.00078
Coal	0	0.0043	0.0043	0.0086
Distillate Oil	0	0.002	0.002	0.004
Residual Oil	0	0.019	0.019	0.038
Gasoline	0	0.0005	0.0005	0.001
Nuclear	0	0.0016	0.0016	0.0032
Hydropower	0	0.00027	0.00027	0.00054
Diesel	0.4439	0	0.4439	0.8878
Other	0	0.00024	0.00024	0.00048
Total	0.44	0.06	0.51	1.01

Exhibit D-25. PC Secondary Product Process Non-energy Emissions				
	Lbs of gas per 1000 lbs of product			
	CO_2	$\mathrm{CH_4}$	N_2O	
Virgin				
Asphalt (Cold Patch)	2	0	0	
Steel Sheet	1575	2.29	0	
Lead Bullion	18.8	0.62	0	
CRT Glass	181	0	0	
Copper Wire	0.0036	0	0	
Aluminum Sheet ¹	1690	0.53	0	
Recycled				
Asphalt (Cold Patch)	2.42	0	0	
Steel Sheet	26.2	0	0	
Lead Bullion	17	0.61	0	
CRT Glass	0	0	0	
Copper Wire	0.0036	0	0	
Aluminum Sheet	0	0	0	

This value was revised to include 180 lbs of CO₂ from anode emissions.